A theoretical analysis of the semileptonic decays $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \ell^+ \ell^-$

Emilio Royo (<u>eroyo@ifae.es</u>) UAB & IFAE-BIST

Ongoing collaboration with Rafel Escribano & Pablo Sánchez-Puertas

Eur. Phys. J. C (2020) 80: 1190, <u>arXiv:2007.12467</u> 2021.XXXX A VIRTUAL TRIBUTE TO QUARK CONFINEMENT AND THE HADRON SPECTRUM August 2nd - 6th, 2021 online



Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona



Outline

- Motivation
- SM Calculations
- SM Results
- BSM *CP* Violation

• Standard Model of particle physics painfully successful

╋







- A number of low-energy precision measurements are sensitive to BSM Physics^{*}
 - SM prediction for the measured quantity is precisely known
 - SM background is small
- Experiments currently underway
 - Muon g 2
 - EDMs
 - Neutron decays
 - Etc.

- The η and η' mesons are special^{*}:
 - The $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is a pseudo-Goldstone boson
 - The η' is largely influenced by the U(1)_A anomaly
 - The η and η' are eigenstates of the *C*, *P*, *CP* and *G* operators: $I^{G}J^{PC} = 0^{+}0^{-+}$
 - All their additive quantum numbers are zero: flavour conserving decays
 - All their strong and EM decays are forbidden at lowest order
 - Decays are mostly free from SM background



* https://redtop.fnal.gov/the-physics/

- The semileptonic decays $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \ell^+ \ell^-$ ($\ell = e \text{ or } \mu$) can be used as fine probes to assess physics BSM.
 - SM contributes through the *C*-conserving exchange of two photons that is highly suppressed (no contribution at tree-level, only corrections at one-loop and higher orders)
- Latest theoretical estimations for $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ date back to the 90s
- No theoretical studies for $\eta' \to \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ or $\eta' \to \eta \ell^+ \ell^-$ to the best of our knowledge

- Analysis of the C-conserving semileptonic decays $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \eta \ell^+ \ell^-$
 - Assess accurately SM background
- Calculations performed within the Vector Meson Dominance (VMD) framework
 - Decay processes dominated by the exchange of vector resonances
- VMD coupling constants parametrised using an existing phenomenological model
 - Numerical values obtained from an optimisation fit to $V \rightarrow P\gamma$ and $P \rightarrow V\gamma$ radiative decays ($V = \rho^0, \omega, \phi$ and $P = \pi^0, \eta, \eta'$)
 - See *Phys. Lett. B* 807 (2020) 135534, <u>arXiv:2003.08379</u> for details

Eur. Phys. J. C (2020) 80: 1190, arXiv:2007.12467

Contributing Feynman diagrams



(a) *t*-channel Feynman diagram



(b) *u*-channel Feynman diagram

Fig. 1 Feynman diagrams contributing to the C-conserving semileptonic decays $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \pi^0 l^+ l^-$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \eta l^+ l^ (l = e \text{ or } \mu)$. Note that $q = p_+ + p_-$ and $V = \rho^0, \omega, \phi$

• *VP*γ interaction amplitude consistent with Lorentz, *P*, *C* and EM gauge invariance can be written as

$$\mathcal{M}(V \to P\gamma) = g_{VP\gamma} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\mu}_{(V)} p_V^{\nu} \epsilon^{*\alpha}_{(\gamma)} q^{\beta} \hat{F}_{VP\gamma}(q^2)$$
Normalised form factor to account for off-shell photons mediating the transition

• Unpolarised squared amplitude



Eur. Phys. J. C (2020) 80: 1190, arXiv:2007.12467

with

$$\begin{split} \Omega &= \sum_{V=\rho^{0},\omega,\phi} \alpha_{V} + \sigma_{V} , \qquad \alpha_{V} = e^{2} \frac{g_{V\eta^{(\prime)}\gamma}g_{V\pi^{0}(\eta)\gamma}}{16\pi^{2}} \int dxdydz \Big[\frac{2A_{1}}{\Delta_{1V} + i\epsilon} - \frac{B_{1}}{(\Delta_{1V} + i\epsilon)^{2}} \Big] ,\\ \Sigma &= \sum_{V=\rho^{0},\omega,\phi} \beta_{V} + \tau_{V} , \qquad \beta_{V} = e^{2} \frac{g_{V\eta^{(\prime)}\gamma}g_{V\pi^{0}(\eta)\gamma}}{16\pi^{2}} \int dxdydz \Big[\frac{2C_{1}}{\Delta_{1V} + i\epsilon} - \frac{D_{1}}{(\Delta_{1V} + i\epsilon)^{2}} \Big] ,\\ \sigma_{V} &= e^{2} \frac{g_{V\eta^{(\prime)}\gamma}g_{V\pi^{0}(\eta)\gamma}}{16\pi^{2}} \int dxdydz \Big[\frac{2A_{2}}{\Delta_{2V} + i\epsilon} - \frac{B_{2}}{(\Delta_{2V} + i\epsilon)^{2}} \Big] ,\\ \tau_{V} &= e^{2} \frac{g_{V\eta^{(\prime)}\gamma}g_{V\pi^{0}(\eta)\gamma}}{16\pi^{2}} \int dxdydz \Big[\frac{2C_{2}}{\Delta_{2V} + i\epsilon} - \frac{D_{2}}{(\Delta_{2V} + i\epsilon)^{2}} \Big] , \end{split}$$

• VMD couplings parametrisation

$$g_{
ho^0\pi^0\gamma} = rac{1}{3}g \;,$$

 $g_{
ho^0\eta\gamma} = gz_{
m NS}\cos\phi_P \;,$
 $g_{
ho^0\eta'\gamma} = gz_{
m NS}\sin\phi_P \;,$
 $g_{\omega\pi^0\gamma} = g\cos\phi_V \;,$

$$g_{\omega\eta\gamma} = \frac{1}{3}g\left(z_{\rm NS}\cos\phi_P\cos\phi_V - 2\frac{\overline{m}}{m_s}z_{\rm S}\sin\phi_P\sin\phi_V\right),$$

$$g_{\omega\eta'\gamma} = \frac{1}{3}g\left(z_{\rm NS}\sin\phi_P\cos\phi_V + 2\frac{\overline{m}}{m_s}z_{\rm S}\cos\phi_P\sin\phi_V\right),$$

$$g_{\phi\pi^0\gamma} = g\sin\phi_V,$$

$$g_{\phi\eta\gamma} = \frac{1}{3}g\left(z_{\rm NS}\cos\phi_P\sin\phi_V + 2\frac{\overline{m}}{m_s}z_{\rm S}\sin\phi_P\cos\phi_V\right),$$

$$g_{\phi\eta'\gamma} = \frac{1}{3}g\left(z_{\rm NS}\sin\phi_P\sin\phi_V - 2\frac{\overline{m}}{m_s}z_{\rm S}\cos\phi_P\cos\phi_V\right),$$

• Numerical values from optimisation fit to $VP\gamma$ radiative decays

$$g = 0.70 \pm 0.01 \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \quad z_{\text{S}}\overline{m}/m_s = 0.65 \pm 0.01 ,$$

$$\phi_P = (41.4 \pm 0.5)^{\circ}, \quad \phi_V = (3.3 \pm 0.1)^{\circ} ,$$

$$z_{\text{NS}} = 0.83 \pm 0.02 .$$

• Decay widths and branching ratios

Table 1 Decay widths and branching ratios for the six *C*-conserving decays $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \pi^0 l^+ l^-$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \eta l^+ l^-$ ($l = e \text{ or } \mu$). First error is experimental, second is down to numerical integration and third is due to model dependency

Decay	$\Gamma_{ m th}$	BR _{th}	BR _{exp}
$\eta ightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$	$2.8(2)(3)(5) \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$	$2.1(1)(2)(4) \times 10^{-9}$	$< 7.5 \times 10^{-6}$ (CL=90%) WASA-at-COSY
$\eta ightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	$1.6(1)(2)(2) \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$	$1.2(1)(1)(2) \times 10^{-9}$	$< 5 \times 10^{-6} (CL=90\%)$
$\eta' ightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$	$8.7(0.5)(0.9)(1.0) \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$	$4.5(3)(5)(6) \times 10^{-9}$	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ (CL=90\%)}$
$\eta' ightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	$3.5(2)(4)(5) \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$	$1.8(1)(2)(3) \times 10^{-9}$	$< 6.0 \times 10^{-5} (CL=90\%) - PDG$
$\eta' ightarrow \eta^0 e^+ e^-$	$7.6(0.4)(0.8)(1.3) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}$	$3.9(3)(4)(7) \times 10^{-10}$	$< 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ (CL=90\%)}$
$\eta' o \eta^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	$3.1(2)(3)(2) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}$	$1.6(1)(2)(1) \times 10^{-10}$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ (CL=90\%)}$

Eur. Phys. J. C (2020) 80: 1190, arXiv:2007.12467

• $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ dilepton spectrum



Eur. Phys. J. C (2020) 80: 1190, arXiv:2007.12467

• $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ dilepton spectrum



Eur. Phys. J. C (2020) 80: 1190, arXiv:2007.12467

• $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \ell^+ \ell^-$ dilepton spectrum



- REDTOP is a new Fermilab project that belongs to the high intensity class of experiments
 - It aims at detecting small variations from the SM by looking at a large number of events produced with very intense beams
- 1.8 GeV continuous proton beam impinging on a target made with 10 foils of beryllium to produce about $2.5 \times 10^{13} \frac{\eta}{vear}$ and $2.5 \times 10^{11} \frac{\eta'}{vear}$
- More information about REDTOP can be found in https://redtop.fnal.gov
- REDTOP may be able measure these BRs with significantly improved accuracy!

- Joined forces with Pablo Sánchez-Puertas for this study.
- Use results from previous section to fix SM background
- Some of Pablo's previous work on *CP* violation:
 - JHEP 01, 031 (2019), <u>arXiv:1810.13228</u>
 - <u>arXiv:1909.07491</u>



- The SMEFT assumes that new physics states are heavy
- The SMEFT is a consistent EFT generalization of the SM constructed out of a series of $SU_c(3) \times SU_L(2) \times U_{\gamma}(1)$ invariant higher dimensional operators, built out of SM fields^{*}

$$\mathcal{L}_{SMEFT} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_i}{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_i^{d=5} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_i}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_i^{d=6} + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{-3})$$

• Relevant operators for our study

$$\mathcal{O}_{\ell e d q}^{prst} = (\bar{\ell}_p^i e_r)(\bar{d}_s q_t^i), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\ell e q u}^{(1)prst} = (\bar{\ell}_p^i e_r)(\bar{q}_s^j u_t)\epsilon_{ij}$$

BSM effects

* Phys. Rept. 793 (2019) 1-98, arXiv:1706.08945



• The most general form factor decomposition for the $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \pi^0(\eta) \mu^+ \mu^-$ processes

$$\langle \ell^+ \ell^- | \eta \pi^0
angle = i \mathcal{M}(2\pi)^4 \delta(p_{\ell^+} + p_{\ell^-} - p_\eta - p_\pi)$$

is

$$\mathcal{M} = m_{\ell}(\bar{u}v)F_1 + (\bar{u}i\gamma^5 v)F_2 + (\bar{u}kv)F_3 + i(\bar{u}k\gamma^5 v)F_4,$$

where

$$k = p_{\eta} + p_{\pi}$$



- Noting that
 - Within the SM, only $F_{1,3}$ terms contribute if one neglects electroweak effects
 - Possible effects to F_4 within the SM arise via electroweak loops
 - Within the SMEFT, the Fermi operators involving vector currents are irrelevant

one arrives at

 $F_{1} = \Sigma \quad \text{(cf. slide 15)}$ $F_{2} = \left[\operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e d q}^{2211} \langle 0 | \, \bar{d} d \, | \eta \pi^{0} \rangle + \operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e d q}^{2222} \langle 0 | \, \bar{s} s \, | \eta \pi^{0} \rangle - \operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e q u}^{(1)2211} \langle 0 | \, \bar{u} u \, | \eta \pi^{0} \rangle \right] v^{-2}$ $F_{3} = \frac{1}{2} \Omega \quad \text{(cf. slide 15)}$ $F_{4} = 0$



• Strong upper bounds for the Wilson coefficients come from nEDMs and charm decays, such as $D_s^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$

 $Im c_{lequ}^{(1)2211} < 0.001$ $Im c_{ledq}^{2211} < 0.002$ $Im c_{ledq}^{2222} < 0.02$



• At LO in $LN_c \chi$ PT, the above matrix elements can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi^{0} | \bar{u}u | \eta \rangle &= B_{0}(c\phi_{23} + \epsilon_{13}s\phi_{23}), & \langle \pi^{0} | \bar{u}u | \eta' \rangle &= -B_{0}(\epsilon_{13}c\phi_{23} - s\phi_{23}) \\ \langle \pi^{0} | \bar{d}d | \eta \rangle &= -B_{0}(c\phi_{23} - \epsilon_{13}s\phi_{23}), & \langle \pi^{0} | \bar{d}d | \eta' \rangle &= -B_{0}(\epsilon_{13}c\phi_{23} + s\phi_{23}) \\ \langle \pi^{0} | \bar{s}s | \eta \rangle &= -2B_{0}\epsilon_{13}s\phi_{23}, & \langle \pi^{0} | \bar{s}s | \eta' \rangle &= 2B_{0}\epsilon_{13}c\phi_{23} \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle \eta | \bar{u}u | \eta' \rangle = -B_0 [\epsilon_{13} (c\phi_{23}^2 - s\phi_{23}^2) - (1 - 2\epsilon_{12}) c\phi_{23} s\phi_{23}]$ $\langle \eta | \bar{d}d | \eta' \rangle = B_0 [\epsilon_{13} (c\phi_{23}^2 - s\phi_{23}^2) + (1 + 2\epsilon_{12}) c\phi_{23} s\phi_{23}]$ $\langle \eta | \bar{s}s | \eta' \rangle = -2B_0 c\phi_{23} s\phi_{23}$



• Differential decays widths for $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \pi^0(\eta) \mu^+ \mu^- \rightarrow \pi^0(\eta) e^+ \nu_e \overline{\nu_\mu} e^- \overline{\nu_e} \nu_\mu$

$$d\Gamma = \sum_{\lambda\bar{\lambda}} \frac{dsdc_{\theta}}{64(2\pi)^{3}m_{\eta}} \frac{\lambda_{K}^{1/2}\beta_{\mu}}{m_{\eta}^{2}} |\mathcal{M}(\lambda \boldsymbol{n}, \bar{\lambda}\bar{\boldsymbol{n}})|^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} dx \ \boldsymbol{n}(x) \left(1 - \lambda b(x)\beta \cdot \boldsymbol{n}\right) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d\bar{\Omega}}{4\pi} d\bar{x} \ \boldsymbol{n}(\bar{x}) \left(1 + \bar{\lambda}b(\bar{x})\bar{\beta}\cdot\bar{\boldsymbol{n}}\right) \end{bmatrix} \\ \eta^{(\prime)} \to \pi^{0}(\eta)\mu^{+}\mu^{-} \qquad \mu^{+} \to e^{+}\nu_{e}\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \qquad \mu^{-} \to e^{-}\overline{\nu}_{e}\nu_{\mu} \\ d\Gamma = \frac{dsdc_{\theta}}{64(2\pi)^{3}m_{\eta}} \frac{\lambda_{K}^{1/2}\beta_{\mu}}{m_{\eta}^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} dx \ \boldsymbol{n}(x) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d\bar{\Omega}}{4\pi} d\bar{x} \ \boldsymbol{n}(\bar{x}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{c}_{1}|F_{1}|^{2} + \tilde{c}_{3}|F_{3}|^{2} + \tilde{c}_{13}^{R} \operatorname{Re} F_{1}F_{3}^{*} + \tilde{c}_{13}^{I} \operatorname{Im} F_{1}F_{3}^{*} \\ + \tilde{c}_{2}|F_{2}|^{2} + \tilde{c}_{12}^{R} \operatorname{Re} F_{1}F_{2}^{*} + \tilde{c}_{12}^{I} \operatorname{Im} F_{1}F_{2}^{*} + \tilde{c}_{23}^{R} \operatorname{Re} F_{2}F_{3}^{*} + \tilde{c}_{23}^{I} \operatorname{Im} F_{2}F_{3}^{*} \end{bmatrix},$$

WORK IN PROGRESS

CP violation

• Longitudinal and transverse asymmetries:

$$A_{L} = \frac{N(c_{\theta_{e^{+}}} > 0) - N(c_{\theta_{e^{+}}} < 0)}{N} = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{\int ds dc_{\theta} \lambda_{K}^{1/2} \beta_{\mu} m_{\mu} \Big[\beta_{\mu} s \operatorname{Im} F_{1} F_{2}^{*} + 2\lambda_{K}^{1/2} c_{\theta} \operatorname{Im} F_{3} F_{2}^{*} \Big]}{64(2\pi)^{3} m_{\eta}^{3} \int d\Gamma}$$

$$A_{T} = \frac{N(s_{\bar{\phi}-\phi} > 0) - N(s_{\bar{\phi}-\phi} < 0)}{N} = \frac{\pi}{18} \frac{\int ds dc_{\theta} \lambda_{K}^{1/2} \beta_{\mu} m_{\mu} \Big[\beta_{\mu} s \operatorname{Re} F_{1} F_{2}^{*} + 2\lambda_{K}^{1/2} c_{\theta} \operatorname{Re} F_{3} F_{2}^{*} \Big]}{64(2\pi)^{3} m_{\eta}^{3} \int d\Gamma}$$



• **<u>Preliminary</u>** results @LO in χ PT for $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$

$$\begin{aligned} &< 0.001 &< 0.002 &< 0.02 \\ A_L &= -0.179(9) \ Im \ c_{lequ}^{(1)2211} - 0.176(10) \ Im \ c_{ledq}^{2211} - 7.92(32) \cdot 10^{-3} \ Im \ c_{ledq}^{2222} < -6.9(4) \cdot 10^{-4} \\ A_T &= 0.0800(34) \ Im \ c_{lequ}^{(1)2211} + 0.0786(26) \ Im \ c_{ledq}^{2211} + 3.52(15) \cdot 10^{-3} \ Im \ c_{ledq}^{2222} < 3.0(1) \cdot 10^{-4} \end{aligned}$$



• **<u>Preliminary</u>** results @LO in χ PT for $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$





• **<u>Preliminary</u>** results @LO in χ PT for $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \mu^+ \mu^-$

$$\begin{aligned} &< 0.001 &< 0.002 &< 0.02 \\ A_L &= -0.0375(9) \ Im \ c_{lequ}^{(1)2211} + 0.0422(12) \ Im \ c_{ledq}^{2211} - 0.0794(20) \ Im \ c_{ledq}^{2222} < -1.55(4) \cdot 10^{-3} \\ A_T &= 6.47(1.34) \cdot 10^{-4} \ Im \ c_{lequ}^{(1)2211} - 6.25(1.91) \cdot 10^{-4} \ Im \ c_{ledq}^{2211} + 1.21(9) \cdot 10^{-3} \ Im \ c_{ledq}^{2222} < 2.3(6) \cdot 10^{-5} \end{aligned}$$



- REDTOP can perform muon polarimetry
- The expected asymmetry noise, for example, for the $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$ is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2.5 \times 10^{13} \cdot 1.2 \times 10^{-9}}} \approx 6 \times 10^{-3}$$

- On the other hand, the asymmetries calculated at LO are of the order $\sim 1 \times 10^{-3}$
- Thus, it appears that the statistics of REDTOP may fall short
- Results using matrix elements at NLO with q^2 dependence still pending

STAY TUNNED

Conclusions

- The study of the η and η' phenomenology can provide a very interesting way to find physics BSM
- Theoretical predictions have been presented for the BRs of the six $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^$ and $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \eta \ell^+ \ell^-$ semileptonic processes
- Theoretical estimations for the longitudinal and transverse asymmetries of the three $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \pi^0(\eta) \mu^+ \mu^-$ processes have been presented, which will enable the assessment of *CP* violating effects from physics BSM in these processes. Work ongoing.