Short-distance constraints on the hadronic light-by-light

Nils Hermansson-Truedsson

In collaboration with J. Bijnens and A. Rodríguez-Sánchez

[1908.03331; 2008.13487; 2101.09169; In Prep.]

Lund University and The University of Edinburgh

August 2, 2022



Vetenskapsrådet

The anomalous magnetic moment of the muon

• Anomalous magnetic moment: sensitive to "light" new physics

$$\mathsf{a}_\mu = rac{(g-2)_\mu}{2} \sim rac{m_\mu^2}{M_{NP}^2}$$

• Standard Model (SM) [White Paper, 2020] vs. Experimental value Brookhaven/Fermilab

$$\begin{split} a_{\mu}^{\rm SM} &= 116\,591\,810(43)\times10^{-11}\,,\\ a_{\mu}^{\rm exp} &= 116\,592\,061(41)\times10^{-11}\,,\\ \Delta a_{\mu} &= 251(59)\times10^{-11} \end{split}$$

- 4.2 σ deviation between experiment and theory [White Paper 2020]
- SM uncertainty: Hadronic corrections (lattice and dispersion theory)
 - Hadronic vacuum polarisation: Tension [White Paper 2020; BMW, 2021; Mainz, 2022]
 - Hadronic light-by-light (HLbL): ← reduce uncertainty further

The HLbL



- Can be calculated on the lattice or from a dispersive approach
- Problematic since several momentum scales involved in the diagram
- $q_4
 ightarrow 0$ (static limit) and $q_{1,2,3}$ integrated over
- Integral has different regions $(Q_i^2 = -q_i^2)$:
 - $Q_i^2 \gg \Lambda_{
 m QCD}^2$ all large: short-distance region
 - $Q_i^2 \sim Q_j^2 \gg Q_k^2, \, \Lambda_{
 m QCD}^2$: Melnikov-Vainshtein limit
 - $Q_i^2 \ll \Lambda_{
 m QCD}^2$: low-energy limit

The HLbL



- The dispersive approach requires experimental input, and one has to insert sums over different states [Colangelo, Hoferichter et al.]
- \bullet Not possible to include everything \rightarrow Models
- Short-distance constraints (SDCs) on the HLbL amplitude useful to constrain models and control errors
- $Q_i^2 \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2$ all large: short-distance region 1 soft photon limit
- $Q_i^2 \sim Q_j^2 \gg Q_k^2, \Lambda_{
 m QCD}^2$: Melnikov-Vainshtein 2 soft photons limit

• Motivation:

- Wanted to make a systematic OPE to derive SDCs
- Can reduce systematic uncertainties in the HLbL
- One soft photon: Always *assumed* that pQCD quark loop is first term in an OPE and sufficiently good
 - \rightarrow Which OPE is it?
 - $\rightarrow\,$ What about the higher order terms in the OPE?
 - → Paper I: [Bijnens, NHT, Rodríguez–Sánchez 2019] Paper II: [Bijnens, NHT, Laub, Rodríguez–Sánchez 2020] Paper III: [Bijnens, NHT, Laub, Rodríguez–Sánchez 2021]
- Two soft photons: Leading-order OPE known [Melnikov, Vainshtein 2004]
 - \rightarrow What about higher-order corrections?
 - → In preparation: [Bijnens, NHT, Rodríguez–Sánchez]

Some generalities

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}(q_1, q_2, q_3) &= -i\int \frac{d^4q_4}{(2\pi)^4} \left(\prod_{i=1}^4 \int d^4x_i \, e^{-iq_ix_i}\right) \\ &\times \langle 0|T\left\{J^{\mu_1}(x_1)J^{\mu_2}(x_2)J^{\mu_3}(x_3)J^{\mu_4}(x_4)\right\}|0\rangle \\ &= q_4\nu_4 \frac{\partial \Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\nu_4}}{\partial q_4^{\mu_4}} \end{aligned}$$

• For $(g-2)_{\mu}$ we want to obtain 19 scalar functions $\hat{\Pi}_i$

$$\lim_{q_4 \to 0} \frac{\partial \Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}}{\partial q_{4\nu_4}} = \lim_{q_4 \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^{54} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{T}}_i^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}}{\partial q_{4\nu_4}} \hat{\Pi}_i \quad \leftarrow \text{Projection}$$

$$a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{HLbL}} = \frac{2\alpha^3}{3\pi^2} \int_0^{\infty} dQ_1 \int_0^{\infty} dQ_2 \int_{-1}^1 d\tau \sqrt{1 - \tau^2} Q_1^3 Q_2^3 \sum_{i=1}^{12} f_i\left(\{\hat{\Pi}_j\}\right)$$

• We can get a_{μ}^{HLbL} in the SD regime by putting restrictions in the integration consistent with $\hat{\Pi}_i$ from an OPE

Nils Hermansson-Truedsson

Confinement 2022

An OPE for one soft photon

- HLbL tensor is a 4-point function: $\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}(q_1, q_2, q_3)$
- Problem because of soft photon for (g 2)_µ: Do an OPE in an external EM field:

$$egin{aligned} \Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(q_1,q_2) &= - \, rac{1}{e} \int rac{d^4 q_3}{(2\pi)^4} \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 \int d^4 x_i \, e^{-i q_i x_i}
ight) \ & imes \left< 0
ight| \mathcal{T} \left(J^{\mu_1}(x_1) J^{\mu_2}(x_2) J^{\mu_3}(x_3)
ight) \left| \gamma(q_4)
ight. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(q_1,q_2) = i \lim_{q_4 \to 0} \frac{\partial \Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}}{\partial q_4^{\nu_4}} \times \langle 0 | e_q F_{\nu_4\mu_4} | \gamma(q_4) \rangle \,.$$

- We can thus obtain a_{μ}^{HLbL} from $\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}$
- Such an OPE introduced for baryon magnetic moment sum rules [Balitsky, Yung, 1983], [loffe, Smilga, 1984], and later for the EW g 2 contributions as well [Czarnecki, Marciano, Vainshtein, 2003]
- Different from a usual vacuum OPE [Shifman, Vainshtein, Zakharov, 1979]

Terms of the OPE up to NNLO

- Papers I and II: Perturbative: (a) quark loop $1/Q^2$
- Non-perturbative: $1/Q^4$, $1/Q^6$: (b₁) $\langle \bar{q}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q \rangle$, (b₂) $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$, (c) $\langle \bar{q}\Gamma_1q \bar{q}\Gamma_2q \rangle$, (d) $\langle \alpha_s GG \rangle$



Numerical results of papers I and II

• Numerically studied these as well, for $Q_i^2 > Q_{\min}^2$



 Non-perturbative condensates suppressed by two orders of magnitude in general

- We thus see that non-perturbative corrections to the massless quark loop are very small
- Paper III: What about the massless perturbative $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ correction to the quark loop? 2 loops





Varying \mathcal{Q}_{\min}



- Main uncertainty: $\alpha_s(\mu)$
- In general see about -10% of the quark-loop

- The massless quark-loop is the leading term in an OPE and a decent representation of the short-distance behaviour with $Q_1^2 \sim Q_2^2 \sim Q_3^2 \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2$
- We have shown that higher-order terms in the OPE are numerically small (suppressed by m_q and small condensates)
- 2-loop correction is about -10% of the quark-loop
- This can now be used by the dispersive/model studies [Colangelo et al., 2020]
- Currently: $Q_1^2 \sim Q_2^2 \gg Q_3^2$, $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2$ very important to constrain models. Only leading order known \rightarrow higher order terms and α_s needed

OPE overview

• Recall for one soft photon we study

$$\begin{split} \Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(q_1,q_2) &\sim \int \frac{d^4q_3}{(2\pi)^4} \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 \int d^4x_i \, e^{-iq_ix_i}\right) \\ &\times \left< 0 \right| \mathcal{T} \left(J^{\mu_1}(x_1) J^{\mu_2}(x_2) J^{\mu_3}(x_3) \right) \left| \gamma(q_4) \right> \end{split}$$

 $Q_{1,2,3} \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$: Keep $F_{\mu\nu}$ -like operators

• Melnikov-Vainshtein limit: For two soft photons we instead consider

$$egin{aligned} \Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2}(q_1) &\sim \int rac{d^4 q_3}{(2\pi)^4} \left(\prod_{i=1}^2 \int d^4 x_i \, e^{-iq_i x_i}
ight) \ & imes \left< 0 | \, T \left(J^{\mu_1}(x_1) J^{\mu_2}(x_2)
ight) | \gamma^*(q_3) \gamma(q_4)
ight> \end{aligned}$$

 $Q_{1,2} \gg Q_3, \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$: Keep operators with the right quantum numbers Can relate it to the HLbL as well

Nils Hermansson-Truedsson

Confinement 2022

OPE in the Melnikov-Vainshtein limit

• OPE variables and definitions

$$egin{aligned} \hat{q} &\equiv rac{q_1-q_2}{2} \ \langle \ldots
angle^{3,4} &= \langle 0 | \ldots | \gamma^*(q_3) \gamma(q_4)
angle \ \Gamma^{\mu
u}(k) &= \gamma^\mu S(k) \gamma^
u \end{aligned}$$

• OPE starts at dimension D = 3

$$\begin{split} \Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} &\approx -\frac{e_{q}^{2}}{e^{2}} \left\langle \bar{q}(0) [\Gamma^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}(-\hat{q}) - \Gamma^{\mu_{2}\mu_{1}}(-\hat{q})] q(0) \right\rangle^{3,4} \\ &- \frac{ie_{q}^{2}}{e^{2}\hat{q}^{2}} (g^{\mu_{1}\delta}g^{\mu_{2}}_{\beta} + g^{\mu_{2}\delta}g^{\mu_{1}}_{\beta} - g^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}g^{\delta}_{\beta}) \left(g_{\alpha\delta} - 2\frac{\hat{q}_{\delta}\hat{q}_{\alpha}}{\hat{q}^{2}}\right) \left\langle \bar{q}(0)(\vec{\partial}^{\alpha} - \overleftarrow{\partial}^{\alpha})\gamma^{\beta}q(0) \right\rangle^{3,4} \\ &- \frac{1}{4} F_{\nu_{3}\mu_{3}}F_{\nu_{4}\mu_{4}} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{3}\nu_{3}} \right|_{q_{3} \to 0} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{4}\nu_{4}} \right|_{q_{4} \to 0} \Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}_{\text{quark-loop}} \end{split}$$

• D = 4: $F_{\mu\nu}F_{\alpha\beta}$ contributions appear and all three lines above needed

- $Q_1, Q_2 \gg Q_3 \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$: limiting case of our previous work
- Through D = 4: Old quark loop agrees
- Argued in [Lüdtke, Procura 2020] that gluonic corrections to D=3 are

$$\Pi_{D=3,\,\rm NLO}^{\mu_1\mu_2} \approx -\frac{e_q^2}{e^2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right) \left\langle \bar{q}(0) [\Gamma^{\mu_1\mu_2}(-\hat{q}) - \Gamma^{\mu_2\mu_1}(-\hat{q})] q(0) \right\rangle^{3,4}$$

- Take our new OPE and compare to the limit of our two-loop paper
- Agreement with the statement in [Lüdtke, Procura 2020]!

Conclusions and outlook

OPEs to derive short-distance constraints for the HLbL

One soft photon limit Two soft photons limit

• For $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$:

Quark loop is the leading term Non-perturbative corrections small Gluon corrections: -10% on the quark loop

• For $Q_1, Q_2 \gg Q_3, \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$:

Limit $Q_3 \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$: Agreement with the quark loop $\tilde{\Pi}_i$ through D = 4Need also $Q_3 \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$: Non-perturbative extrapolations Impact of the short-distance constraints?