Subleading twist TMDs in the light-front quark-diquark model

Harleen Dahiya¹

In Collaboration with

Shubham Sharma¹ and Narinder Kumar^{1,2}

¹Dr. B. R. Ambedkar National Institute of Technology, Jalandhar, India ²Department of Physics, Doaba College, Jalandhar, India



dahiyah@nitj.ac.in

August 2, 2022

Overview

Internal Structure of the Hadrons

- Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs)
- Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs)
- Transverse Momentum-Dependent Parton Distributions (TMDs)
- Wigner Distributions (WDs)
- Generalized Transverse Momentum-Dependent Parton Distributions (GTMDs)

2 Light-Front Quark-Diquark Model

3 Input Parameters

TMD Correlator

3 Results



Internal Structure of the Hadrons

- Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) provides fundamental description of hadronic structure and dynamics in terms of their elementary quark and gluon degrees of freedom.
- Internal Structure: The knowledge of internal structure of hadrons in terms of quark and gluon degrees of freedom in QCD provide a basis for understanding more complex, strongly interacting matter.
- Knowledge has been rather limited because of confinement and it is still a big challenge to perform the calculations from the first principles of QCD.

Quantum chromodynamics (QCD): Present Theory of Strong Interactions

- At high energies, (α_s is small), QCD can be used perturbatively.
- At low energies, (α_s becomes large), one has to use other methods such as effective Lagrangian models to describe physics.
- Wide range of applications ranging from the dynamics and structure of hadrons and nuclei to the properties and phases of hadronic matter at the earliest stages of the universe.
- New experimental tools are continually being developed to probe the nonperturbative structure of the theory, for example the hard diffractive reactions, semi-inclusive reactions, deeply virtual Compton scattering etc..
- Many fundamental questions have not been resolved. The most challenging nonperturbative problem in QCD is to determine the structure and spectrum of hadrons in terms of their quark and gluon degrees of freedom.

From Special Theory of Relativity:

- Space and time independently are not invariant quantities.
- Rather space-time is an invariant object.



Internal Structure of the Hadrons

Instant form v/s Front form



Figure 1: The instant form

• All measurements are made at fixed *t* i.e. at $x^0 = 0$.



Figure 2: The front form

• All measurements are made at fixed light-cone time x^+ i.e. at $x^+ = x^0 + x^3 = 0$.

• Energy-momentum dispersion relation:

In the instant form, $p^0 = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}.$ In the front form, $p^- = rac{ec{p}_\perp^2 + m^2}{p^+}.$

No square-root for the Hamiltonian in light front form. Therefore, simplifes the dynamical structure.

- Instant-form vacuum is infinitely complex.
- Light-front vacuum is simple, as all the massive fluctuations in the ground state are absent.

Light-front provides the wavefunctions (LFWFs) required to describe the structure and dynamics of hadrons in terms of their constituents (quarks and gluons).

- S. J. Brodsky, G. F. de Teramond, Phys. Rev. D 77, 056007 (2008).

Why Light Front?

- It is an Ideal Framework to describe theoretically the hadronic structure in terms of quarks and gluons. It can overcome many obstacles and has many advantages:
 - Simple vacuum structure ~ vacuum expectation value is zero.
 - A dynamical system is characterized by ten fundamental quantities: energy, momentum, angular momentum and boost.

 \sim seven out of which are kinematical. It allows unambiguous definition of the partonic content of a hadron, exact formulae for form factors, physics of angular momentum of constituents.

• Dispersion Relation (for ON shell particles)

$$k^- = \frac{(k\perp)^2 + m^2}{k^+}$$

 \sim no square root factor.

Light-Front Coordinates

- A generic four Vector x^μ in light-cone coordinates is describe as x^μ = (x⁻, x⁺, x_⊥)
- $x^+ = x^0 + x^3$ is called as light-front time.
- $x^- = x^0 x^3$ is called as light-front longitudinal space variable.
- $x^{\perp} = (x^1, x^2)$ is the transverse variable.
- Similarly, we can define the longitudinal momentum $k^+ = k^0 + k^3$ and light-front energy $k^- = k^0 k^3$.



- Light Front QCD (LFQCD) is an *ab initio* approach to study the strongly interacting system. It is similar to perturbative and lattice QCD and is directly connected to the QCD Lagrangian.
- However, it is a Hamiltonian method, formulated in Minkowinski space rather than Euclidean space.
- The theory is quantized at fixed light-cone time $\tau = t + z/c$ rather than ordinary time t.

PDFs, GPDs and TMDs

Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs) Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs) Transverse Momentum-Dependent Parton Distributions (TMDs)

Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs)

To understand the structure of the hadron in terms of quarks and gluons, different categories of parton distributions are present.

- PDFs were introduced by Feynman in 1969.
- PDFs are the basic ingredient to understand the internal hadron structure.
- From parton densities one can extract the distribution of longitudinal momentum carried by the quarks, antiquarks and gluons and their polarizations.
- PDF *f*(*x*) imparts information about the probability of finding a parton carrying a longitudinal momentum fraction *x* inside the hadron.

- Partonic structure is probed in scattering processes such as Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS).
- The quark-quark correlation to evaluate pion PDFs are defined as

$$q^{\pi}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{4\pi} e^{ik^{+}z^{-}/2} \langle \pi(P) | \bar{\Psi}(0) \gamma^{+} \Psi(z^{-}) | \pi(P) \rangle \Big|_{z^{+} = \mathbf{z}_{\perp} = 0}.$$



• • • • • • • • • • • •

How partons are distributed in the plane transverse to the direction in which the hadron is moving, or how important their orbital angular momentum is in making up the total spin of a nucleon?

This missing information is compensated in Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs). The GPDs are physical observables which can provide deep insight about the internal structure of the nucleon and more generally, in non-perturbative QCD.

Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs)

- GPDs provide a 3-D picture of the partonic nucleon structure. From 3-D we mean that GPDs encode information on the distribution of partons both in the transverse plane and longitudinal direction.
- Generalized Parton Distributions can be accessed through deep exclusive processes such as DVCS or DVMP. DVCS reaction $\gamma^* + p \rightarrow \gamma + p$ has extraordinary senstivity to fundamental features of the proton's structure.
- GPDs are much richer in content about the hadron structure than ordinary parton distributions.
- GPDs allows us to access partonic configurations with a given longitudinal momentum fraction, but also at specific location (transverse) inside the hadron.
- GPDs depends on three variables x, ζ , t.
 - x is the fraction of momentum transfer.
 - ζ gives the longitudinal momentum transfer.
 - t is the square of the momentum transfer in the process.

A B > A B > A B >
 A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A

 Several experiments such as H1 collaboration, ZEUS collaboration and fixed target experiments at HERMES have finished taking data on DVCS. In the forward limit of zero momentum transfer, the GPDs reduce to ordinary parton distributions.

One can define the correlation to evaluate unpolarized GPD in pion H^π(x, ζ = 0, t) as

$$H^{\pi}(x,0,t) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{4\pi} e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}/2} \langle \pi(P') | \bar{\Psi}(0) \gamma^{+} \Psi(z) | \pi(P) \rangle \Big|_{z^{+}=\mathbf{z}_{\perp}=0}$$

 The GPDs explain through various exclusive processes such as Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS) and Hard Exclusive Meson Production (HEMP).



• • • • • • • • • • • •

Transverse Momentum-Dependent Parton Distributions (TMDs)

To get the information of hadron structure in momentum space, transverse momentum-dependent parton distributions (TMDs) were introduced.

- TMDs describe the probability to find a parton with longitudinal momentum fraction *x* and transverse momentum with respect to the direction of the parent hadron momentum in a hadron.
- TMDs $f(x, \vec{k_{\perp}})$, are function of longitudinal momentum fraction carried by the active quark $x = \frac{k^+}{P^+}$ and the quark transverse momentum $\vec{k_{\perp}}$.
- TMDs are also of particular importance because they give rise to single spin asymmetries (SSAs).
- TMDs represent three-dimensional hadron picture in *momentum space*.

- They can be measured in a variety of reactions in lepton-proton and protonproton collisions as semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS) and Drell-Yan production where a final-state particle is observed with a transverse momentum.
- The quark-quark correlation to evaluate quark TMDs in pion is given by

$$\Phi^{\pi}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{z}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{ik.z/2} \langle \pi(P) | \bar{\Psi}(0) \Gamma \Psi(z) | \pi(P) \rangle |_{z^{+}=0}.$$

A D N A B N A B N A B



イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Wigner Distributions (WDs)

- To understand the hadron structure more precisely, the joint position and momen (quantum analog to the classical phase-space distributions) Wigner distributions were introduced.
- Wigner distributions were first introduced by E. Wigner in 1932.

-E. Wigner Phys. Rev. 70, 749 (1932)

- These distributions are the *quasi-probabilistic distributions*.
- No experiments yet.

Wigner Distributions



In QCD, Wigner distributions were first introduced by Xiangdong Ji
 -X. -d. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 062001 (2003).

$$\rho^{[\Gamma]}(\mathbf{b}_{\perp},\mathbf{k}_{\perp},x) = \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{\Delta}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{-i\mathbf{\Delta}_{\perp}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{\perp}} W^{[\Gamma]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\perp},\mathbf{k}_{\perp},x),$$

where $W^{[\Gamma]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\perp}, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}, x)$ in the pion state $|\pi(P)\rangle$ at fixed light-cone time $z^+ = 0$ is defined as

$$W^{[\Gamma]}(\vec{\Delta}_{\perp},\vec{p}_{\perp},x) = \int \frac{dz^{-}d^{2}z_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{ip\cdot z} \langle \pi(P^{\prime\prime}) \big| \bar{\psi}(-z/2) \Gamma \mathcal{W}_{[-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}]} \psi(z/2) \big| \pi(P^{\prime}) \rangle.$$

Here, Γ indicates the Dirac γ -matrix, specifically

- γ^+ : corresponding to unpolarized quark,
- $\gamma^+\gamma_5$: corresponding to longitudinally-polarized quark,
- $i\sigma^{j+}\gamma_5$: corresponding to transversely-polarized parton, where j = 1 or 2, depending upon the polarization direction of quark.

For pion, the two-particle Fock state expansion is defined as

$$|\pi(P)
angle = \sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \int \frac{dx d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp}}{\sqrt{x(1-x)} 16\pi^3} |x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2
angle \psi_{S_z}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2),$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 describes the helicities of quark and anti-quark in meson respectively.

-W. Qian and B. -Q. Ma, Phys. Rev. D 78, 074002 (2008).

- There are 4 independent twist-2 quark (anti-quark) Wigner distributions, depending on various polarization configurations for spin-0 hadron (pseudoscalar mesons).
- There are 16 independent Wigner distributions for the case of proton.

-Z. -L. Ma and Z. Lu, Phys. Rev. D 98, 054024 (2018).

For unpolarized quark in unpolarized hadron, we have

$$\rho_{UU}(\mathbf{b}_{\perp},\mathbf{k}_{\perp},x) = \rho^{[\gamma^+]}(\mathbf{b}_{\perp},\mathbf{k}_{\perp},x)$$

For the longitudinally-polarized quark in the unpolarized hadron, we have

$$\rho_{UL}(\mathbf{b}_{\perp},\mathbf{k}_{\perp},x) = \rho^{[\gamma^+\gamma_5]}(\mathbf{b}_{\perp},\mathbf{k}_{\perp},x)$$

For the transversely-polarized quark in the unpolarized hadron, we have

$$\rho^{j}_{UT}(\mathbf{b}_{\perp},\mathbf{k}_{\perp},x) = \rho^{[i\sigma^{j+}\gamma_{5}]}(\mathbf{b}_{\perp},\mathbf{k}_{\perp},x).$$

Wigner distributions are designed as

ho_{xy}

where x : polarization state of kaon, which always remain unpolarized. and y : polarization state of quark or anti-quark.

Generalized Transverse Momentum-Dependent Parton Distributions (GTMDs)

 The twist-2 GTMDs related to unpolarized pseudoscalar meson with spin-0 is connected with Wigner correlator or operator as

$$egin{aligned} \hat{W}^{[\gamma^+]} &= F_1, \ \hat{W}^{[\gamma^+\gamma_5]} &= rac{i\epsilon_{\perp}^{ij} \kappa_{\perp}^i \Delta_{\perp}^j}{M^2} ilde{G}_1, \ \hat{W}^{[i\sigma^{j+}\gamma_5]} &= rac{i\epsilon_{\perp}^{ij} \kappa_{\perp}^i}{M} H_1^\kappa + rac{i\epsilon_{\perp}^{ij} \Delta_{\perp}^i}{M} H_1^\kappa \end{aligned}$$

with the anti-symmetric tensor $\epsilon_{\perp}^{ij} = \epsilon^{-+ij}$, $\epsilon^{0123} = 1$ and $\sigma^{ab} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^a, \gamma^b]$.

- Leading-twist GTMDs are the function of six variables $(x, \zeta, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}, \mathbf{\Delta}_{\perp}, \mathbf{\Delta}_{\perp}^2)$.
- The GTMDs are accessible through double Drell-Yan processes.



- GTMDs are known as mother distributions.
- One can obtain GPDs and TMDs from GTMDs under certain kinematic limits.

Light-Front Quark-Diquark Model I

- The description of proton in this model is as an aggregate of an active quark and a diquark spectator of definite mass.
- The proton has spin-flavor SU(4) structure and it has been expressed as a composite of isoscalar-scalar diquark singlet |u S⁰>, isoscalar-vector diquark |u A⁰> and isovector-vector diquark |d A¹> states as

$$|P;\pm\rangle = C_S |u S^0\rangle^{\pm} + C_V |u A^0\rangle^{\pm} + C_{VV} |d A^1\rangle^{\pm}.$$

Here, *S* and *A* has been used to denote the scalar and vector diquark sequentially. Their isospin has been represented by the superscripts on them.

• The light-cone convention $z^{\pm} = z^0 \pm z^3$ has been used and the frame is picked such that the proton's transverse momentum does not exist i.e., $P \equiv (P^+, \frac{M^2}{P^+}, \mathbf{0}_{\perp})$. The momentum of the smacked quark (*p*) and diquark (*P*_X) are

$$p \equiv \left(xP^+, \frac{p^2 + |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^2}{xP^+}, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}\right),$$

$$P_X \equiv \left((1-x)P^+, P_X^-, -\mathbf{p}_{\perp}\right).$$

• The denotion of longitudinal momentum fraction possessed by the smacked quark has been done by $x = p^+/P^+$. The Fock-state expansion in the case of two particle for $J^z = \pm 1/2$ for the scalar diquark can be expressed as

$$|u S\rangle^{\pm} = \int \frac{dx \ d^2 \mathbf{p}_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{x(1-x)}} \bigg[\psi_{+}^{\pm(\nu)}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}) \bigg| + \frac{1}{2} \ s; xP^+, \mathbf{p}_{\perp} \bigg) + \psi_{-}^{\pm(\nu)}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}) \bigg| - \frac{1}{2} \ s; xP^+, \mathbf{p}_{\perp} \bigg) \bigg],$$

where, flavour index is v = u, d.

• $|\lambda_q \ \lambda_S; xP^+, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}\rangle$ represents the state of two particle having helicity of smacked quark as λ_q and helicity of a scalar diquark as λ_S .

• The LFWFs for the scalar diquark are expressed as

$$\begin{split} \psi_{+}^{+(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= N_{S} \varphi_{1}^{(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}), \\ \psi_{-}^{+(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= N_{S} \bigg(-\frac{p^{1}+ip^{2}}{xM} \bigg) \varphi_{2}^{(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}), \\ \psi_{+}^{-(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= N_{S} \bigg(\frac{p^{1}-ip^{2}}{xM} \bigg) \varphi_{2}^{(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}), \\ \psi_{-}^{-(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= N_{S} \varphi_{1}^{(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}). \end{split}$$

Here $\varphi_i^{(v)}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp})$ are LFWFs and N_S is the normalization constant.

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日

 Similarly, Fock-state expansion in the case of two particle for the vector diquark is given as

$$\begin{aligned} |v A\rangle^{\pm} &= \int \frac{dx \ d^{2} \mathbf{p}_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^{3} \sqrt{x(1-x)}} \bigg[\psi_{++}^{\pm(v)}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}) \bigg| + \frac{1}{2} + 1; xP^{+}, \mathbf{p}_{\perp} \bigg\rangle \\ &+ \psi_{-+}^{\pm(v)}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}) \bigg| - \frac{1}{2} + 1; xP^{+}, \mathbf{p}_{\perp} \bigg\rangle + \psi_{+0}^{\pm(v)}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}) \bigg| + \frac{1}{2} \ 0; xP^{+}, \mathbf{p}_{\perp} \bigg\rangle \\ &+ \psi_{-0}^{\pm(v)}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}) \bigg| - \frac{1}{2} \ 0; xP^{+}, \mathbf{p}_{\perp} \bigg\rangle + \psi_{+-}^{\pm(v)}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}) \bigg| + \frac{1}{2} - 1; xP^{+}, \mathbf{p}_{\perp} \bigg\rangle \\ &+ \psi_{--}^{\pm(v)}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}) \bigg| - \frac{1}{2} - 1; xP^{+}, \mathbf{p}_{\perp} \bigg\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Here $|\lambda_q \lambda_D; xP^+, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}\rangle$ is the state of two-particle with helicity of quark being $\lambda_q = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ and helicity of vector diquark being $\lambda_D = \pm 1, 0$ (triplet).

• The LFWFs for the vector diquark for the case when $J^z = +1/2$ are given as

$$\begin{split} \psi_{++}^{+(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= N_{1}^{(\nu)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{p^{1} - ip^{2}}{xM} \right) \varphi_{2}^{(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}), \\ \psi_{-+}^{+(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= N_{1}^{(\nu)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \varphi_{1}^{(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}), \\ \psi_{+0}^{+(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= -N_{0}^{(\nu)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \varphi_{1}^{(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}), \\ \psi_{-0}^{+(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= N_{0}^{(\nu)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{p^{1} + ip^{2}}{xM} \right) \varphi_{2}^{(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}), \\ \psi_{+-}^{+(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= 0, \\ \psi_{--}^{+(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= 0, \end{split}$$

イロト イロト イヨト イヨ

• The LFWFs for the vector diquark for the case when $J^z = -1/2$ are given as

$$\begin{split} \psi_{+}^{-(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= 0, \\ \psi_{-}^{-(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= 0, \\ \psi_{+}^{-(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= N_{0}^{(\nu)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \Big(\frac{p^{1} - ip^{2}}{xM} \Big) \varphi_{2}^{(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}), \\ \psi_{-}^{-(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= N_{0}^{(\nu)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \varphi_{1}^{(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}), \\ \psi_{+}^{-(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= -N_{1}^{(\nu)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \varphi_{1}^{(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}), \\ \psi_{+}^{-(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) &= -N_{1}^{(\nu)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} (\frac{p^{1} + ip^{2}}{xM}) \varphi_{2}^{(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}), \end{split}$$

where N_0 , N_1 are the normalization constants. Generic ansatz of LFWFs $\varphi_i^{(v)}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp})$ is being adopted from the soft-wall AdS/QCD prediction and the parameters a_i^v , b_i^v and δ^v are established as

$$\varphi_{i}^{(\nu)}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp}) = \frac{4\pi}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/x)}{1-x}} x^{a_{i}^{\nu}} (1-x)^{b_{i}^{\nu}} \exp\left[-\delta^{\nu} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\perp}^{2}}{2\kappa^{2}} \frac{\log(1/x)}{(1-x)^{2}}\right].$$

Input Parameters I

• The parameters a_i^{ν} and b_i^{ν} , have been fitted at model scale $\mu_0 = 0.8 \text{ GeV}$ using the Dirac and Pauli data of form factors.

ν	a_1^{ν}	b ^v ₁	a_2^{ν}	b_1^{ν}	δ^{ν}
u	0.280	0.1716	0.84	0.2284	1.0
d	0.5850	0.7000	0.9434	0.64	1.0

Table 1: Values of model parameters corresponding to up and down quarks.

v	N _S	Ν ₀ ^ν	N_1^{ν}
u	2.0191	3.2050	0.9895
d	2.0191	5.9423	1.1616

Table 2: Values of normalization constants N_i^2 corresponding to both up and down quarks.

- The AdS/QCD scale parameter κ is chosen to be 0.4 GeV.
- Constituent quark mass (m) and the proton mass (M) are taken to be 0.055 GeV and 0.938 GeV sequentially.

TMD Correlator I

TMD Correlator

 We have to solve the quark-quark correlator to obtain the TMDs. The unintegrated quark-quark correlator in the light-front formalism for SIDIS is defined as

$$\Phi^{\nu[\Gamma]}(x,\mathbf{p}_{\perp};S) = \frac{1}{2} \int \left. \frac{dz^- d^2 z_{\mathsf{T}}}{2(2\pi)^3} e^{ip.z} \langle \mathsf{P}; S | \overline{\psi}^{\nu}(0) \mathsf{\Gamma} \mathscr{W}_{[0,z]} \psi^{\nu}(z) | \mathsf{P}; S \rangle \right|_{z^+=0}.$$

- Struck quark's longitudinal momentum fraction is (x = p⁺/P⁺) and its helicity is λ.
- Proton's momentum is denoted by *P* and its heicity is λ_N .
- The helicity of proton is λ_N and its spin components are written by $S^+ = \lambda_N \frac{P^+}{M}$, $S^- = \lambda_N \frac{P^-}{M}$, and S_T .
- Light-cone gauge $A^+ = 0$ is selected and a frame is chosen where the momentum of the proton is $P \equiv (P^+, \frac{M^2}{P^+}, \mathbf{0})$, the momentum of virtual photon is $q \equiv (x_B P^+, \frac{Q^2}{x_B P^+}, \mathbf{0})$, where $x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}$ is the Bjorken variable and $Q^2 = -q^2$.
- The movement of Wilson line $\mathcal{W}_{[0,z]}$ is through the path $[0,0,0_{\perp}] \rightarrow [0,1,0_{\perp}] \rightarrow [0,1,z_{\perp}] \rightarrow [0,z^{-},z_{\perp}]$. Value of Wilson line is chosen to be 1.

TMD Correlator II

• The subleading twist quark TMDs are projected from correlator $\phi^{q[\gamma^j\gamma^5]}$ as

$$\phi^{q[\gamma^j\gamma^5]} = \frac{M}{P^+} \left[S_T^j g_T^q + S_L \frac{p_T^j}{M} g_L^{\perp q} + \frac{\kappa^{jk} S_T^k}{M^2} g_T^{\perp q} + \frac{\varepsilon^{jk} p_T^k}{M} g^{\perp q} \right].$$

The indices j, k denote spatial directions transverse to the lightcone.

Results

EXPRESSIONS

• For proton, the TMD $xg_T^{\nu}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2)$ is given as

$$\begin{split} & x \ g_T^u(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2) = \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \bigg(C_S^2 N_s^2 - \frac{1}{3} C_V^2 |N_0^u|^2) \bigg) \bigg[\frac{m}{M} |\varphi_1^u|^2 + \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2}{M^2 x} |\varphi_1^u| |\varphi_2^u| \bigg], \\ & x \ g_T^d(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2) = \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \bigg(C_S^2 N_s^2 - \frac{1}{3} C_{VV}^2 |N_0^d|^2) \bigg) \bigg[\frac{m}{M} |\varphi_1^d|^2 + \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2}{M^2 x} |\varphi_1^d| |\varphi_2^d| \bigg]. \end{split}$$

TMDPDF



Figure 3: Plot of $xg_T^v(x)$ TMDPDF with respect to *x*. Black and dotted blue curve correspond to *u* and *d* quarks sequentially.

A D > A A P >

< E

TMD vs x



Figure 4: The TMD $xg_T^{\nu}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2)$ is plotted with respect to x at different values of \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2 , i.e., $\mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2 = 0.1 \text{ GeV}^2$ (black curve), $\mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2 = 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$ (dotted blue curve) and $\mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2 = 0.3 \text{ GeV}^2$ (dashed red curve). The left and right column correspond to *u* and *d* quarks sequentially.

TMD vs \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2



Figure 5: The TMD $xg_T^{\nu}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2)$ is plotted with respect to \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2 at different values of *x*, i.e., x = 0.1 (black curve), x = 0.3 (dotted blue curve) and x = 0.5 (dashed red curve). The left and right column correspond to *u* and *d* quarks sequentially.

A D F A B F A B F



Figure 6: Plot of $xg_T^{\nu}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2)$ TMD with respect to x and \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2 . The left and right column correspond to *u* and *d* quarks sequentially.

Summary

- The TMD xg^ν_T(x, p²_⊥) flips its sign when quark flavour is interchanged between u and d quarks.
- The magnitude of the TMD $xg_T^{\nu}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2)$ is negligibly small at the value of \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2 greater than and equal to 0.3 GeV².
- Plot of TMDPDF $xg_T^{\nu}(x)$ is identical to the 2-D plot of $xg_T^{\nu}(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2)$ versus x.

References I

- R. Jakob, P. J. Mulders and J. Rodrigues, Nucl. Phys. A 626, 937 (1997).
- A. Bacchetta, F. Conti and M. Radici, Phys. Rev. D 78, 074010 (2008).
 - G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D 22, 2157 (1980).
 - J.R. Ellis, D.S. Hwang, and A. Kotzinian, Phys. Rev. D 80,074033 (2009).
 - S. J. Brodsky and G. F. de Teramond, Phys. Rev. D 77, 056007 (2008).
 - G. F. de Teramond and S. J. Brodsky, arXiv: 1203.4025, HEP-PH (2012).
 - T. Maji and D. Chakrabarti, Phys. Rev. D 95, 074009 (2017).
- T. Maji and D. Chakrabarti, Phys. Rev. D 94, 094020 (2016).
 - A. V. Efremov, P. Schweitzer, O. V. Teryaev and P. Zavada, Phys. Rev. D 80, 014021 (2009).
 - M. Burkardt, arXiv: 0709.2966, HEP-PH (2008).
 - D. Chakrabarti and C. Mondal, Phys. Rev. D 88, no. 7, 073006 (2013).
 - D. Boer, P. J. Mulders and F. Pijlman, Nucl. Phys. B 667, 201 (2003).

• • • • • • • • • • • •





K. Goeke, A. Metz and M. Schlegel, Phys. Lett. B 618, 90 (2005).

Thank you!