## Visible final-state kinematics in $b \to c\tau (\to \pi \nu_{\tau}, \rho \nu_{\tau}, \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \nu_{\tau}) \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ reactions

Neus Penalva<sup>a,c</sup>, E. Hernández<sup>b</sup> and J. Nieves<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institut de Física Corpuscular (CSIC-UV)

<sup>b</sup>Universidad de Salamanca

<sup>c</sup>University of Southampton

August 2nd, 2022 Talk based on: JHEP 04 (2022) 026



Neus.Penalva@ific.uv.es

Neus Penalva (IFIC (CSIC-UV))

Visible kinematics in  $b \rightarrow c\tau (\rightarrow d\nu_{\tau})\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ 

1/14

 $\mathcal{R}_{\Lambda_c}$  latest result from LHCb\* + other observables measured\*\*:

$$\mathcal{R}_{H_c} = \frac{\Gamma(H_b \to H_c \tau \bar{\nu_{\tau}})}{\Gamma(H_b \to H_c \ell \bar{\nu_{\ell}})}, \ P_{\tau}(D^*), \ F_L^{D^*}.$$

 $\Rightarrow$  NP affecting 3th quark and lepton generations.

 $H_b(p)$ 

\* LHCb collab. Phys.Rev.Lett. 128, 191803

\*\*Results from BaBar, Belle and LHCb combined in: HFLAV group. Eur.Phys.J.C 81(2021) 3, 226

### $b \rightarrow c$ decays

NP effects introduced using a effective Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{split} H_{\rm eff} &= \frac{4G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} \bigg[ \big(1 + \underbrace{C_{LL}^V}_{U} \big) \mathcal{O}_{LL}^V + C_{RL}^V \mathcal{O}_{RL}^V + \underbrace{C_{LL}^S \mathcal{O}_{LL}^S + C_{RL}^S \mathcal{O}_{RL}^S}_{(\rm pseudo-)scalar} + \underbrace{C_{LL}^T \mathcal{O}_{LL}^T}_{\rm tensor} \\ &+ \underbrace{C_{LR}^V \mathcal{O}_{LR}^V + C_{RR}^V \mathcal{O}_{RR}^V + C_{LR}^S \mathcal{O}_{LR}^S + C_{RR}^S \mathcal{O}_{RR}^S + C_{RR}^T \mathcal{O}_{RR}^T}_{\rm right-handed neutrinos} \bigg] + h.c., \end{split}$$

Wilson coeff. are fitted to experimental data.  $\rightarrow$  Different models give same results for  $\mathcal{R}_{H_c}$ .

We will use:

- Fit 7 from Murgui et al. JHEP 09 (2019) 103
- S7a from Mandal et al. JHEP 08 (2020) 08, 022

All the physics is encoded in 10 independent functions of  $\omega$  and the Wilson coefficients.

	observables
unpolarized $ au^-$	$n_0, A_{ m FB}, A_Q$
polarized $ au^-$	$\left  \langle P_{L}^{\mathrm{CM}}  ight angle, \langle P_{T}^{\mathrm{CM}}  ight angle, Z_{L}, Z_{Q}, Z_{\perp}  ight $
complex WC's	$\langle P_{TT} \rangle$ , $Z_T$

$$n_0$$
: contains all the dynamical effects  $\left(\frac{d\Gamma_{\rm SL}}{d\omega} \propto n_0\right) \langle P_{L,T,TT}^{\rm CM} \rangle$ :  $\tau$  spin asymmetries

 $A_{\rm FB,Q}$ : au angular asymmetries

 $Z_{L,T,\perp}$ :  $\tau$  angular-spin asymmetries

N.P. et al. JHEP 10 (2021) 122

Neus Penalva (IFIC (CSIC-UV))

Visible kinematics in  $b \rightarrow c\tau (\rightarrow d\nu_{\tau})\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ 

August 2nd, 2022

## Approach

**PROBLEM:** The  $\tau^-$  particle decays very fast and has to be reconstructed.

$$\tau^{-} \text{ decay modes:} \begin{cases} \triangleright & \mu^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \nu_{\tau} \\ \triangleright & \pi^{-} \nu_{\tau} \\ \triangleright & \rho^{-} \nu_{\tau} \\ \triangleright & \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} (\pi^{0}) \nu_{\tau} \rightarrow \text{ used for the LHCb result}^{*} \\ \triangleright \dots \end{cases}$$
  
In the decay, neu **Solution:** Using to the visible dec  $\tau^{-}$  energy or direction of the second s

Figure: Kinematics in the  $au ar{
u}_{ au}$  CM reference system.

In the decay, neutrinos are always involved. Solution: Using variables that are related to the visible decay products instead of the  $\tau^-$  energy or direction.

## Starting point

Asadi et al. Phys.Rev.D 102 (2020) 9, 095028 N.P. et al. JHEP 10 (2021) 122

The  $H_b \rightarrow H_c \tau (\rightarrow d\nu_\tau) \bar{\nu}_\tau$  differential decay rate:

$$\frac{d^{3}\Gamma_{d}}{d\omega d\xi_{d} d\cos\theta_{d}} = \mathcal{B}_{d} \frac{d\Gamma_{\rm SL}}{d\omega} \Big\{ F_{0}^{d}(\omega,\xi_{d}) + F_{1}^{d}(\omega,\xi_{d})\cos\theta_{d} + F_{2}^{d}(\omega,\xi_{d})P_{2}(\cos\theta_{d}) \Big\},$$

where

$$\begin{split} F_{0}(\omega,\xi_{d}) &= C_{n}(\omega,\xi_{d}) + C_{P_{L}}(\omega,\xi_{d}) \langle P_{L}^{\mathrm{CM}} \rangle \\ F_{1}(\omega,\xi_{d}) &= C_{A_{FB}}(\omega,\xi_{d}) A_{FB} + C_{Z_{L}}(\omega,\xi_{d}) Z_{L} + C_{P_{T}}(\omega,\xi_{d}) \langle P_{T}^{\mathrm{CM}} \rangle \\ F_{2}(\omega,\xi_{d}) &= C_{A_{Q}}(\omega,\xi_{d}) A_{Q} + C_{Z_{Q}}(\omega,\xi_{d}) Z_{Q} + C_{Z_{\perp}}(\omega,\xi_{d}) Z_{\perp}. \end{split}$$

The  $C_i$  functions are kinematical factors that The CP-violating contributions disappear after depend on the tau decay mode  $(\pi, \rho \text{ or } \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu})$ . integrating over the azimuthal angle  $(\phi_d)$ .

э

#### $\omega$ distribution

We can follow different paths:



# The $d^2\Gamma/(d\omega d\cos\theta_d)$ distribution

$$\frac{d^3\Gamma_d}{d\omega d\xi_d d\cos\theta_d} \rightarrow \frac{d^2\Gamma_d}{d\omega d\cos\theta_d}$$

We get,

$$\frac{d^2 \Gamma_d}{d\omega d \cos \theta_d} = \mathcal{B}_d \frac{d \Gamma_{\rm SL}}{d\omega} \Big[ \widetilde{F}_0^d(\omega) + \widetilde{F}_1^d(\omega) \cos \theta_d + \widetilde{F}_2^d(\omega) P_2(\cos \theta_d) \Big],$$

For all  $\tau$  decay modes:

We loose information on  $\langle P_L^{\rm CM} \rangle$ 

$$\widetilde{F}_{0}(\omega) = \underbrace{\int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{2}} C_{n}(\omega,\xi_{d}) d\xi_{d}}_{1/2} + \langle P_{L}^{\text{CM}} \rangle(\omega) \underbrace{\int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{2}} C_{P_{L}}(\omega,\xi_{d}) d\xi_{d}}_{0}$$

∃ ⇒

# The $d^2\Gamma/(d\omega d\cos\theta_d)$ distribution (II)

### The limit $y = \frac{m_d}{m_\tau} = 0$ works fine for $\tau \to \mu \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau$ .



Figure:  $n_0 \tilde{F}_{0,1,2}^{\mu\bar{\nu}_{\mu}}(\omega)$  for  $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$  decays in SM and different NP fits.

Moreover, for  $\tau \rightarrow \pi \nu_{\tau}$ , where the limit is also good:

More discriminating power for

$$C^{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}_{FB},\mathcal{A}_{Q}}(\omega) = C^{\mu\nu_{\mu}}_{\mathcal{A}_{FB},\mathcal{A}_{Q}}(\omega) + \mathcal{O}(y^{2}), \qquad \tau \to \pi\nu_{\tau}$$

$$C^{\pi}_{P_{T},Z_{L},Z_{Q},Z_{\perp}}(\omega) = -3 C^{\mu\nu_{\mu}}_{P_{T},Z_{L},Z_{Q},Z_{\perp}}(\omega) + \mathcal{O}(y^{2})$$

Analytical expressions for  $C_i(\omega)$  in N.P. et al. JHEP 04 (2022) 026

## The $d\Gamma/(d\cos\theta_d)$ distribution

$$\frac{d^{3}\Gamma_{d}}{d\omega d\xi_{d} d\cos\theta_{d}} \rightarrow \frac{d^{2}\Gamma_{d}}{d\omega d\cos\theta_{d}} \rightarrow \frac{d\Gamma_{d}}{d\cos\theta_{d}}$$

And we get,

$$\frac{d\Gamma_d}{d\cos\theta_d} = \mathcal{B}_d\Gamma_{\rm SL}\Big[\frac{1}{2} + \widehat{F}_1^d\cos\theta_d + \widehat{F}_2^d P_2(\cos\theta_d)\Big].$$



Figure: Angular  $d\Gamma/d\cos\theta_d$  distribution for the  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c$  decays. Same NP scenarios as before.

Neus Penalva (IFIC (CSIC-UV))

Visible kinematics in  $b \rightarrow c\tau (\rightarrow d\nu_{\tau}) \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ 

August 2nd, 2022

# The $d\Gamma/(d\omega d\xi_d)$ distribution

$$\frac{d^3\Gamma_d}{d\omega d\xi_d d\cos\theta_d} \rightarrow \frac{d^2\Gamma_d}{d\omega d\xi_d}$$

The  $F_1(\omega, \xi_d)$  and  $F_2(\omega, \xi_d)$  contributions disappear.

The distribution looks like:

$$\frac{d^{2}\Gamma_{d}}{d\omega d\xi_{d}} = 2\mathcal{B}_{d}\frac{d\Gamma_{\rm SL}}{d\omega}\left(C_{n}^{d}(\omega,\xi_{d}) + C_{P_{L}}^{d}(\omega,\xi_{d})\langle P_{L}^{\rm CM}\rangle(\omega)\right)$$

As  $C_n^d$  and  $C_{P_L}^d$  are known,  $\langle P_L^{\rm CM} \rangle(\omega)$  can be extracted.





Figure:  $\langle P_L^{\rm CM} \rangle$  for the  $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$  decay.

Using that result:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\tau} = \frac{-1}{\Gamma_{\rm SL}} \int d\omega \frac{d\Gamma_{\rm SL}}{d\omega} \langle P_L^{\rm CM} \rangle(\omega)$$
  
Already measured in  $B \to D^*$   
decays. S. Hirose et al. (Belle)  
Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 211801 (2017)

Neus Penalva (IFIC (CSIC-UV))

Visible kinematics in  $b \rightarrow c\tau (\rightarrow d\nu_{\tau}) \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ 

August 2nd, 2022

12/14

## The $d\Gamma/(dE_d)$ distribution

$$\frac{d^{3}\Gamma_{d}}{d\omega d\xi_{d} d\cos\theta_{d}} \rightarrow \frac{d^{2}\Gamma_{d}}{d\omega d\xi_{d}} \stackrel{*}{\rightarrow} \frac{d\Gamma_{d}}{dE_{d}}$$

\* We make the change of variables  $\xi_d = E_d/(\gamma m_{\tau})$ . N.P. et al. JHEP 04 (2022) 026

$$\widehat{F}_{0}^{d}(E_{d}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\mathrm{SL}}} \int_{1}^{\omega_{\mathrm{sup}}(E_{d})} \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{d\Gamma_{\mathrm{SL}}}{d\omega} \Big\{ C_{n}^{d}(\omega, E_{d}) + C_{P_{L}}^{d}(\omega, E_{d}) \langle P_{L}^{\mathrm{CM}} \rangle(\omega) \Big\} d\omega,$$



Figure:  $\hat{F}_0^d(E_d)$  for the  $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$  decays.

Visible kinematics in  $b \rightarrow c\tau (\rightarrow d\nu_{\tau}) \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ 

13/14

• Using visible final-state kinematics helps to avoid using  $\tau$  variables that are difficult to reconstruct.

$$\frac{d^{3}\Gamma}{d\omega d\xi_{d}d\cos\theta_{d}} \rightarrow n_{0}, \ A_{FB,Q}, \ Z_{L,Q,\perp}, \ \langle P_{L}^{\rm CM} \rangle, \ \langle P_{T}^{\rm CM} \rangle$$

• One can increase statistics by integrating in some of the variables.

$$\frac{d^2\Gamma}{d\omega d\xi_d} \to \langle P_L^{\rm CM} \rangle, \qquad \frac{d^2\Gamma}{d\omega d\cos\theta_d} \to \text{all other angular} - \text{spin asymmetries}$$

• 
$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_d}$$
,  $\frac{d\Gamma}{dE_d}$  and  $\frac{d\Gamma}{d\omega}$  are also useful.

 $\bullet\,$  In general, the hadronic  $\tau\text{-decay}$  modes have more discriminating power.

• • = •