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## NRQCD vs. Experimental data
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## Fits in pNROCD

Brambilla, Chung, Vairo, Wang, PRD105, no.11, L111503 (2022),

LDMEs should be universality, however:

- Numbers are not the same.
- Not even the sign.

More work is needed!

## Heavy quarkonium production of high $p_{T}$

- High $p_{T}$ quarkonium production $\left(p_{T}^{2} \gg(2 m)^{2} \gg \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{2}\right)$ : separation between the short distance part for $Q \bar{Q}$ production and the bound state formation part.
- NRQCD factorization: Long-Distance Matrix Elements (LDMEs) are organized by the power of the quark velocity $v^{2} \sim q_{T}^{2} / m^{2}<1$ with $q_{T}$ the relative momentum between a quark pair.


$$
\text { LO : } d \sigma\left(Q \bar{Q}\left[^{3} S_{1}^{[1]}\right]\right) \propto \alpha_{s}^{3} m^{4} / p_{T}^{8}
$$

- At high $p_{T}$, higher order corrections must be essential:
$d \sigma\left(Q \bar{Q}\left[{ }^{3} S_{1}^{[1]}\right]\right) \propto \alpha_{s}^{3} m^{4} / p_{T}^{8} \times \alpha_{s} p_{T}^{2} / m^{2}=\alpha_{s}^{4} m^{2} / p_{T}^{6}$
- More importantly, the gluon jet fragmentation gives $d \sigma \propto \alpha_{s}^{5} / p_{T}^{4}$ as well as $d \sigma \propto \alpha_{s}^{2} / p_{\perp}^{4} \times \alpha_{s}^{3} \ln \left(p_{T}^{2} / m^{2}\right)$. The later is enhanced even if $\alpha_{s} \ll 1$.
- We may not obtain reliable predictions by considering only diagrams in the naive $\alpha_{s}$ expansion as well as $v$ expansion.

- In this talk, we consider QCD factorization with fragmentation functions (FFs).


## QCD factorization approach

Leading power (LP)


Subleading power (NLP): critical at moderate $p_{T} \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(2 m)$

$$
d \sigma_{A+B \rightarrow[f, Q \bar{Q}] \rightarrow H+X}^{\mathrm{QCD}-\operatorname{Res}}(\mu)=\sum_{f=q, \bar{q} \cdot g} C_{A+B \rightarrow[f]+X}^{\mathrm{LP}}(\mu) \otimes D_{[f f] \rightarrow H}(\mu)+\frac{1}{p_{\perp}^{2}}\left[\sum_{n} C_{A+B \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(n)]+X}^{\mathrm{NLP}}(\mu) \otimes \mathscr{D}_{[Q \bar{Q}(n)] \rightarrow H}(\mu)\right]
$$

- In hadronic collisions, short distance coefficients (SDCs) $C^{\mathrm{LP}}$ and $C^{\mathrm{NLP}}$ are available up to NLO and LO in $\alpha_{s}$ expansion, respectively. $C^{\text {NLP }}$ at NLO has been calculated only in $e^{+} e^{-}$collisions. Lee, Sterman, JHEP 09 (2020) 046
- $D_{f}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{Q \bar{Q}}$ : Twist-2 single parton (SP) and Twist-4 double parton (DP) fragmentation functions.
- Matching condition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \sigma_{A+B \rightarrow H+X}(m \neq 0) & =d \sigma_{A+B \rightarrow H+X}^{\mathrm{QCD}-\mathrm{Evol}}(m=0)-d \sigma_{A+B \rightarrow H+X}^{\mathrm{QCD}-(\mathrm{n})}(m=0)+d \sigma_{A+B \rightarrow H+X}^{\mathrm{NRQCD}-(\mathrm{n})}(m \neq 0) \\
& \Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
d \sigma_{A+B \rightarrow H+X}^{\mathrm{QCD}-\mathrm{Evol}} & \text { when } & p_{\perp} \gg m ; d \sigma^{\mathrm{NRQCD}-(\mathrm{n})} \approx d \sigma^{\mathrm{QCD}-(\mathrm{n})} \\
d \sigma_{A+B \rightarrow H+X}^{\mathrm{NRQCD}-\mathrm{n})} & \text { when } & p_{\perp} \rightarrow m ; d \sigma^{\mathrm{QCD}-E v o l} \approx d \sigma^{\mathrm{QCD}-(\mathrm{n})}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Renormalization group improvement

- Twist-2 evolution equation: DGLAP + nonlinear quark pair corrections

$$
\frac{\partial D_{[f] \rightarrow H}}{\partial \ln \mu^{2}}=\gamma_{[f] \rightarrow\left[f^{\prime}\right]} \otimes D_{\left[f^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow H}+\frac{1}{\mu^{2}} \gamma_{[f] \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]} \otimes \mathscr{D}_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)] \rightarrow H}
$$

- Twist-4 "DGLAP like" evolution equation:

$$
f, f^{\prime}=q, Q, g
$$

The inhomogeneous term is added to the slope, not to the FF itself.
$\kappa, n=v^{[8]}, v^{[1]}, a^{[8]}, a^{[1]}, t^{[8]}, t^{[1]}$

The RG improved factorized cross section covers all events in which the heavy quark pair can be produced:

1. at the short-distance: early stage (NLP)
2. at the input scale: later stage (LP)
3. in-between (Nonlinear quark pair correction)


## Evolution of DP FFs in $u, v$-space

Consider the derivative of a test function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{\kappa \rightarrow n}^{\prime}(z, u, v) \equiv \frac{2 \pi}{\alpha_{s}} \frac{d D_{\kappa \rightarrow n}(z, u, v)}{d \ln \mu^{2}}, \\
& D(z, u, v) \rightarrow D_{z}(z) D_{u}(u) D_{v}(v), \\
& D_{z}(z, \alpha)=\frac{z^{\alpha}(1-z)^{\beta}}{B[1+\alpha, 1+\beta]}, \\
& D_{u, v}(x, \gamma)=\frac{x^{\gamma}(1-x)^{\gamma}}{B[1+\gamma, 1+\gamma]},
\end{aligned}
$$


amplitude : $p_{Q}=u p_{c}, p_{\bar{Q}}=(1-u) p_{c}$ c.c.amplitude : $p_{Q}=v p_{c}, p_{\bar{Q}}=(1-v) p_{c}$ with $z p_{c}^{+}=p^{+}$




- S-to-S DP FFs get broader in $u$, $v$-space after evolution.
- O-to-O DP FFs become narrower with a large peak around $u=v=1 / 2$.


## Evolution equations in a simplified situation



- The produced heavy quark pair is dominated by its on-shell state at high $p_{T}$.
- We may expand the SDCs and evolution kernels on lower virtuality sides at each evolution step around $u=v=1 / 2$.
- This can be a reasonable approximation suggested by the evolution of DP FFs in $u, v$-space. S-to-S channels are not dominant at high $p_{T}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{d \sigma_{\mathrm{NLP}}^{H}}{d y d^{2} p_{T}}=\int d z d u d v C_{[Q \bar{Q}]}\left(p_{Q}, p_{\bar{Q}}, \mu\right) \mathscr{D}_{[Q \bar{Q}] \rightarrow H}(u, v, z, \mu) \approx \int d z C_{[Q \bar{Q}]} \hat{p}_{Q}^{+}=\frac{1}{2} p_{c}^{+}, \hat{p}_{\bar{Q}}^{+}=\frac{1}{2} p_{c}^{+}, \mu\right) \underbrace{\int d u d v \mathscr{D}_{[Q \bar{Q}] \rightarrow H}(u, v, z, \mu)}_{\equiv D_{[Q \bar{Q}] \rightarrow H}(z, \mu)} \\
& \frac{\partial D_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)] \rightarrow H}(z, \mu)}{\partial \ln \mu^{2}} \approx \sum_{n} \int_{z}^{1} \frac{d z^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{1} d u \int_{0}^{1} d \nu \Gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(n)] \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(k)]}\left(u, v, u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}, v^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}, \frac{z}{z^{\prime}}\right) D_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)] \rightarrow H}\left(z^{\prime}, \mu\right), \\
& \frac{\partial D_{f \rightarrow H}(z, \mu)}{\partial \ln \mu^{2}} \approx \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2 \pi} \sum_{f^{\prime}} \int_{z}^{1} \frac{d z^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}} P_{f \rightarrow f}\left(z / z^{\prime}\right) D_{f^{\prime} \rightarrow H}\left(z^{\prime}\right)+\frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}(\mu)}{\mu^{2}} \sum_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]} \int_{z}^{1} \frac{d z^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}} P_{f \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]}\left(u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}, v^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}, \frac{z}{z^{\prime}}\right) D_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)] \rightarrow H}\left(z^{\prime}, \mu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Input FFs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{f \rightarrow H}\left(z ; m, \mu_{0}\right)=\sum_{[Q \bar{Q}(n)]} \pi \alpha_{s}\left\{\hat{d}_{f \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(n)]}^{(1)}\left(z ; m, \mu_{0}, \mu_{\Lambda}\right)+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \hat{d}_{f \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(n)]}^{(2)}\left(z ; m, \mu_{0}, \mu_{\Lambda}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{2}\right)\right\} \frac{\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{[Q \bar{Q}(n)]}^{H}\left(\mu_{\Lambda}\right)\right\rangle}{m^{2 L+3}} \\
& D_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)] \rightarrow H}\left(z ; m, \mu_{0}\right)=\sum_{[Q \bar{Q}(n)]}\left\{\hat{d}_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)] \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(n)]}^{(0)}\left(z ; m, \mu_{0}, \mu_{\Lambda}\right)+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \hat{d}_{[Q \bar{Q}(k)] \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(n)]}^{(1)}\left(z ; m, \mu_{0}, \mu_{\Lambda}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{2}\right)\right\} \frac{\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{[Q \bar{Q}(n)]}^{H}\left(\mu_{\Lambda}\right)\right\rangle}{m^{2 L+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mu_{0}=\mathcal{O}(2 m) \text { : input scale, } \mu_{\Lambda}=\mathcal{O}(m) \text { : NRQCD factorization scale } \quad \kappa=v^{[c]}, a^{[c]}, t^{[c]}, \quad n={ }^{2 S+1} L_{J}^{[c]}
$$

Perturbative SDCs of input FFs in $\alpha_{s}$ and $v$ expansion in the NRQCD are reliable only when SDCs $\ll \mathcal{O}(1)$. Indeed, the NRQCD factorization is not reliable as $z \rightarrow 1$ where SDCs $\hat{d}(z)$ include the following terms:

1. $\delta(1-z)$ at LO in $\alpha_{s}$ expansion
2. $f(z) \ln (1-z)$ with $f(z)$ being a regular function
3. $\frac{f(z)}{[1-z]_{+}}, f(z)\left[\frac{\ln (1-z)}{1-z}\right]_{+}$due to the perturbative cancelation of IR divergences

In our current analysis, we use analytic results if those vanish as $z \rightarrow 1$, otherwise, singular or negative input FFs are cast into

$$
D_{[Q \bar{Q}(n)]}(z)=C_{[Q \bar{Q}(n)]}\left(\alpha_{s}\right) \frac{z^{\alpha}(1-z)^{\beta}}{B[1+\alpha, 1+\beta]} \quad \begin{array}{r}
(\alpha \gg 1,1>\beta>0) \\
\rightarrow \text { to be tuned, imitating }
\end{array}
$$

$C_{[Q \bar{Q}(n)]}$ : abs. value of the first moment
$\rightarrow$ to be tuned, imitating $\delta$-function at LO.

## Quark pair corrections to SP FFs

Lee, Qiu, Sterman, KW, SciPost Phys. Proc.8, 143 (2022) Lee, Qiu, Sterman, KW, in preparation.


The nonlinear quark pair corrections remain significant even at high $Q^{2}=\mu^{2} \sim p_{T}^{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial D_{f \rightarrow H}}{\partial \ln \mu^{2}}=\gamma_{f \rightarrow f^{\prime}} \otimes D_{f^{\prime} \rightarrow H}+\frac{1}{\mu^{2}} \gamma_{f \rightarrow[Q \bar{\varrho}(x)]} \otimes \mathscr{D}[Q \bar{Q}(k)] \rightarrow H \\
& \frac{\partial D_{f \rightarrow H}^{\text {Nonlinear }}}{\partial \ln \mu^{2}} \sim \frac{\partial D_{f \rightarrow H}^{\text {Linear }}}{\partial \ln \mu^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0] analogous to nonlinear gluon recombination effects to gluon PDF at small- $x$ and large $\mu^{2}$.

## Phenomenology (1/4)

Lee, Qiu, Sterman, KW, in preparation


- Unweighted results: $\left\langle\mathcal{O}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}^{[1]}\right)\right\rangle / \mathrm{GeV}^{3}=\left\langle\mathcal{O}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}^{[8]}\right)\right\rangle / \mathrm{GeV}^{3}=\left\langle\mathcal{O}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}^{[8]}\right)\right\rangle / \mathrm{GeV}^{3}=\left\langle\mathcal{O}\left({ }^{3} P_{0}^{[8]}\right)\right\rangle / \mathrm{GeV}^{5}=1$.
- $\alpha=30, \beta=0.5$ are fixed for both SP and DP FFs.
- ${ }^{1} S_{0}^{[8]}$ is two orders of magnitude smaller than ${ }^{3} S_{1}^{[8]}$ at LP.
- Three color octet channels at NLP provide similar contributions, steeply falling with $p_{T}$.


## Phenomenology (2/4)

- Fitting the LP formalism with the linear evolution eq. to
- Fitting the LP formalism with the linear evolution eq. to
CMS data on high $p_{T}$ prompt $J / \psi$ at $\sqrt{s}=7,13 \mathrm{TeV}$ in the bin, $|y|<1.2$.
- \# of data points in a fit: $3 @ 7 \mathrm{TeV}+4 @ 13 \mathrm{TeV}=7$ for $p_{T} \geq 60 \mathrm{GeV}$.
- Only the ${ }^{1} S_{0}^{[8]}$ channel is considered, yielding unpolarized
$J / \psi$. The other two color octet channels could overshoot data by combining LP and NLP.
- $\left\langle\mathcal{O}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}^{[8]}\right)\right\rangle / \mathrm{GeV}^{3}=0.1286 \pm 5.179 \cdot 10^{-3}$ fitted by high $p_{T}$ data is similar to the one extracted using fixed order NRQCD at NLO. Chao, Ma, Shao, Wang, Zhang, PRL108, 242004 (2012)
- Global data fitting is useful to pin down LDMEs and the shape of input FFs.

The power corrections do not vanish even at the highest $p_{T}$, giving 10-30\% corrections. At $p_{T}=30 \mathrm{GeV}$ and below, the NLP corrections become significant.

## Phenomenology (3/4)

- Putting $\alpha=30, \beta=0.5$ at $\mu_{0}=4 m_{c}$ and $\mu_{\Lambda}=m_{c}$, $\left\langle\mathcal{O}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}^{[8]}\right)\right\rangle / \mathrm{GeV}^{3}=0.1286 \pm 5.179 \cdot 10^{-3}$ is obtained.
- K-factor is included to account for higher order corrections of the NLP partonic cross section. We simply fix $K_{\mathrm{NLP}}=2$.



## Phenomenology (4/4)

- Given that the overall normalization factor is fixed, QCD factorization approach describes LHC data on prompt $J / \psi$ production in hadronic collisions.
$\rightarrow$ QCD global data analysis is possible.
- We could modify $K_{\mathrm{NLP}}$ at Tevatron energies, but $K_{\mathrm{NLP}}=2$ is fixed here.



## Discussion

Lee, Qiu, Sterman, KW, in preparation.

1. $\ln \left(p_{T}^{2} / m^{2}\right)$-type logarithmically enhanced contributions start to dominate when $p_{T} \gtrsim 5($ or 7$) \times\left(2 m_{c}\right) \sim 15-20 \mathrm{GeV}$, where the LP is significant, power corrections are small.
2. The NLP contribution is important at $p_{T} \lesssim 10 \mathrm{GeV}=\mathcal{O}\left(2 m_{c}\right)$, where matching between QCD factorization and NRQCD factorization can be made.
3. Further exploration of the shape of the FFs at large- $z$ would help us understand the quarkonium production mechanism.


## Summary

- We have studied the QCD factorization for hadronic quarkonium production at high $p_{T}$.
- We demonstrated that the LP contributions are significant for hadronic quarkonium production at high $p_{\perp}$ while the NLP contributions are sizable at lower $p_{T}$ but different in shape.
- The power corrections to the quantum evolution of the SP FFs are not suppressed even at high $p_{T}$, enhancing high $p_{T}$ quarkonium cross-section.
- The QCD factorization formalism should make possible a new global data analysis. There is sufficient room to improve the input FFs.
- Matching between the QCD factorization and fixed order NRQCD factorization should enable us to describe quarkonium production not only in hadronic collisions but also in other scattering processes in a broader $p_{T}$ region.


## Thank you!

## backup

## Sample of SP, DP FFs after evolution

Lee, Qiu, Sterman, KW, in preparation.


## Off-diagonal channels: DP FFs in $u, v$-space

Lee, Qiu, Sterman, KW, in preparation.
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