### Muon g - 2 HVP contribution from the lattice Round table discussion about standard model anomalies at ConfXV

Lukas Varnhorst

01. Aug. 2022



Free Dirac fermions are have a gyromagnetic factor g = 2. Interactions change this values  $\rightarrow$  Study the deviation g - 2 or a = (g - 2)/2.



For the muon, electroweak, Higgs and QCD contribution are relevant.

Uncertainty dominated by the Hadron vacuum polarization (HVP) contribution.

HVP contribution can not be determined by perturbation theory.  $\rightarrow$  Data-driven approach or lattice calculation. Free Dirac fermions are have a gyromagnetic factor g = 2. Interactions change this values  $\rightarrow$  Study the deviation g - 2 or a = (g - 2)/2.



For the muon, electroweak, Higgs and QCD contribution are relevant.

Uncertainty dominated by the Hadron vacuum polarization (HVP) contribution.

HVP contribution can not be determined by perturbation theory.  $\rightarrow$  Data-driven approach or lattice calculation.

Data driven approaches rely on dispersion theory: Express the HVP contribution in terms of the ha-  $\bigwedge$  hadrons dronic *R*-ratio.

### Lattice approach



In lattice QCD, euclidean space time is discretized and quark and gluon fields are put on the sites and links of a space-time lattice.

Use powerfull computers to solve the path integral numerically.

Leading HVP contribution can be written as

$$a_{\mu}^{ ext{LO-HVP}} = lpha^2 \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t K(t) \mathcal{G}(t)$$

where K(t) is a known kernel and

$$G(t)=rac{1}{3e^2}\sum_{ec{x},\mu=1,...,3}\langle J_{\mu,t,ec{x}}J_{\mu,0}
angle$$

Divide HVP contribution into parts:

$$a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{ ext{light}} + a_{\mu}^{ ext{strange}} + a_{\mu}^{ ext{charm}} + a_{\mu}^{ ext{disc}} + a_{\mu}^{ ext{pert}}$$

Key challenge:  $a_{\mu}^{\rm HVP}$  has to be determined with sub percent precision.  $\rightarrow$  All systematics must be controlled to this precision

Important ingredients of the calculation:

• Setting the lattice scale



**Key challenge:**  $a_{\mu}^{\rm HVP}$  has to be determined with sub percent precision.  $\rightarrow$  All systematics must be controlled to this precision

- Setting the lattice scale
- Correcting for finite volume effects



Key challenge:  $a_{\mu}^{\rm HVP}$  has to be determined with sub percent precision.  $\rightarrow$  All systematics must be controlled to this precision

- Setting the lattice scale
- Correcting for finite volume effects
- Continuum extrapolation









**Key challenge:**  $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP}}$  has to be determined with sub percent precision.  $\rightarrow$  All systematics must be controlled to this precision

- Setting the lattice scale
- Correcting for finite volume effects
- Continuum extrapolation
- Isospin breaking contributions



**Key challenge:**  $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP}}$  has to be determined with sub percent precision.  $\rightarrow$  All systematics must be controlled to this precision

- Setting the lattice scale
- Correcting for finite volume effects
- Continuum extrapolation
- Isospin breaking contributions
- Noise reduction and bounding method



Key challenge:  $a_{\mu}^{\rm HVP}$  has to be determined with sub percent precision.  $\rightarrow$  All systematics must be controlled to this precision

- Setting the lattice scale
- Correcting for finite volume effects
- Continuum extrapolation
- Isospin breaking contributions
- Noise reduction and bounding method
- Global fits and systematic error estimation



**Key challenge:**  $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP}}$  has to be determined with sub percent precision.  $\rightarrow$  All systematics must be controlled to this precision

- Setting the lattice scale
- Correcting for finite volume effects
- Continuum extrapolation
- Isospin breaking contributions
- Noise reduction and bounding method
- Global fits and systematic error estimation
- ... many more ...



### Comparison of Results



[BNL] G. W. Bennett *et al.* [Muon g-2], Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006), 072003 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.072003 [arXiv:hep-ex/0602035 [hep-ex]].

[FNAL] B. Abi et al. [Muon g-2], Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) no.14, 141801 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.141801 [arXiv:2104.03281 [hep-ex]].

[White paper] T. Aoyama, N. Asmussen, M. Benayoun, J. Bijnens, T. Blum, M. Bruno, I. Caprini, C. M. Carloni Calame, M. Cè and G. Colangelo, et al. Phys. Rept. 887 (2020), 1-166 doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2020.07.006 [arXiv:2006.04822 [hep-ph]].

[BMWc] S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, J. N. Guenther, C. Hoelbling, S. D. Katz, L. Lellouch, T. Lippert, K. Miura, L. Parato and K. K. Szabo, et al. Nature 593 (2021) no.7857, 51-55 doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03418-1 [arXiv:2002.12347 [hep-lat]]. One can define a window observable where the lattice correlation function is multiplied by a window function

$$W(t; t_1, t_2) = \Theta(t; t_1, \Delta) - \theta(t, t_2, \Delta) \quad \text{with} \quad \theta(t; t', \Delta) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \tanh\left[\frac{t-t'}{\Delta}\right]$$



 $(t_1, t_2, \Delta) = (0.4, 1.0, 0.15) \,\mathrm{fm}$ 

The light, isospin symmetric part of the window quantity is much easier to calculate on the lattice and is a good consistency check.

Many lattice collaborations have calulated that value.

#### Comparison of Results

