

Experimental assessment of cracked tubular joints repaired with crack-deflecting holes and weld-toe grinding

S Riise¹, M Atteya¹, O Mikkelsen¹ and G Ersdal^{1,*}

¹ University of Stavanger, Norway

* gerhard.ersdal@uis.no

Abstract. Tubular joints in offshore structures for energy production are exposed to cyclic loading and may experience fatigue cracking. Presently, the inspection method commonly used for such structures will primarily detect whether a member is flooded, which is an indication of through thickness cracks. As a result, repair methods suitable for repairing through thickness cracks that are cost-effective and quick to implement are needed. One such repair method could be crack arrest by hole drilling. However, the validity of such a repair method is at present not sufficiently proven. Hence, this paper presents the results of an experimental fatigue test of pre-cracked tubular joint repaired with crack-deflecting holes combined weld-toe grinding.

One tubular double T joint was tested and underwent three-phases comprising of a pre-cracking phase, a repair phase and a post-repair testing phase. The through-thickness fatigue crack was achieved by subjecting the intact test joint to cyclic axial loading within the high-cycle fatigue domain. In the repair phase, crack-deflecting holes were drilled in front of each crack tip to arrest the crack ends. In addition, the weld-toe behind these holes were grinded to enhance the fatigue life of the repaired joint. The performances of the repaired test joint were then investigated in the last phase by subjecting it to further cyclic loading within the high cycles fatigue domain. In the test, the crack was successfully arrested in the crack-deflecting holes. Furthermore, the repair method indicated an apparent enhancement of the fatigue endurance of the cracked tubular joint when subjected to stress ranges within the high-cycle fatigue domain.

1. Introduction

Offshore jacket structures fabricated from steel tubular are widely used as offshore substructures for oil and gas exploration and are also increasingly used as substructures for offshore wind turbine. [Figure 1](#), shows a typical offshore jacket structure. These fixed structures are exposed to a harsh environment with considerable cyclic wind and wave loadings in a corrosive environment, which can lead to fatigue cracking. Consequently, fatigue is one of the critical design criteria for such structures [1-4].

Although every effort is made in the design stage to avoid fatigue cracking in the offshore structures' service life, this may not be achieved. In addition, numerous offshore installations are ageing and have already passed their design life. Therefore, adequate inspection and repair are vital to maintain safe operating conditions and safely extend the life of the existing offshore structures. In most cases, the repair is difficult and expensive and may result in significant downtime with consequential loss [5].

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (United Kingdom)



Figure 1. Heimdal platform, typical offshore jacket structure

An extensive number of repair methods exist, spanning from weld repair to mechanical fixing or removal and replacement of structural elements [6]. A similarity among these techniques is the necessity for heavy equipment, extensive planning, and substantial resource allocation, all of which contribute to high expenditure. Hence, there is a lack of economically temporary repair methods for tubular joints subjected to fatigue cracks. A well-known temporary repair method for plated structures subjected to fatigue cracks is crack tip holes and crack deflecting holes [7]. This method has been used with success in aeronautical industries and bridge engineering. It comprises drilling a through-thickness hole in the crack tip or crack tip proximity to arrest the crack in the hole, thereby reducing the stress intensity at the crack tip. Another cost-effective repair method proven to increase fatigue life is weld toe grinding [5], which implies profiling the weld toe to reduce the notch stress. The advantage of both these methods is that they do not require heavy equipment and are relatively easy to perform, which means lower costs.

The objective of the present investigation was to assess the effect of repairing a cracked tubular joint with crack-deflecting holes in combination with weld toe grinding, where the crack has been formed under high cycle fatigue conditions.

2. Specimen construction and fatigue test method

2.1. Specimen design

The joint design and fabrication are equal to the specimen tested by [8], thus enabling a comparison of the results. One DT-joint specimen was fabricated with the geometry shown in [Figure 2](#) ~~Figure 2~~.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (United Kingdom)




Figure 2. DT-joint Geometry [8]

NDT testing was conducted in compliance with the requirements stipulated in DNV-OS-C401, including 100% visual inspection, 100% magnetic particle testing and 100% ultrasonic testing. No weld improvement techniques were applied before the pre-cracking of the specimen. A picture of the DT-joint as fabricated and installed in the fatigue testing rig is shown in [Figure 3](#).

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (United Kingdom)



Figure 3. Specimen as-fabricated and installed in fatigue rig, respectively

2.2. Materials

The brace and chord were both made of hot-rolled, seamless pipes formed from normalised structural steel satisfying the grade requirements S355 G15+N. The cones were machined from a 120mm shaft of steel S355 J2+N.

2.3. Instrumentation

Linear electric strain gauges with grid lengths of 3 mm and a nominal resistance of 120 were installed at critical locations on the DT joint to measure the stress distribution in the chord, detect and map crack propagation, and acquire the stress concentration factors. As the strain gauges are sensitive to temperature, thermocouples PT100 were glued to the specimen to constantly measure the temperature of the specimen and correct the strain readings.

In each quadrant of the specimen, six strain gauges were attached. The strain gauge layout within a single quadrant is depicted in [Figure 4](#), featuring two SGs at the saddle point to capture the

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (United Kingdom)

maximum hot spot stress with the aid of the linear extrapolation method described in DNV-RP-C203 [9]. The first row of strain gauges nearest to the weld toe were placed in extrapolation point A, as specified in DNV-RP-C203. Meanwhile, the strain gauges farthest from the weld toe, located at the saddle position, were positioned at extrapolation point B.

- Point A at chord surface normal to the weld toe = $0.2\sqrt{rt} = 4.4mm$
- Point B at chord surface normal to the weld toe = $2\pi R \frac{5}{360} = 9.6mm$




Figure 4. Strain gauge layout at quadrant 1

2.4. Fatigue testing condition

The specimen was tested under constant amplitude sinusoidal loading, in which sole axial force was applied through the brace. The entire fatigue testing process was carried out under load control, maintaining a positive R-ratio and a constant frequency between 3 to 10 Hz in air at room temperature. Before initiating the fatigue test, several preparatory steps were performed to ensure reliable results. Among these, the clamping stresses were recorded and the reading frequency of the strain gauges was determined through a convergence study to ensure all peaks and valleys were captured during testing. The clamping stresses were decided to not be included in the testing and the strain gauge reading frequency was set to 300Hz.

3. Result of fatigue test

3.1. Overview

The complete fatigue testing scheme of the DT joint aimed to evaluate the fatigue endurance of a cracked DT joint repaired with crack deflecting holes combined with weld toe grinding when degraded under high cycle fatigue conditions. To investigate this, one tubular DT-joint was tested through a three-phased testing scheme comprising:

1. **Pre-cracking:** the specimen was subjected to cyclic tension load under HCF conditions until a through-thickness crack was achieved.
2. **Repair:** two repair methods were performed on the specimen: weld toe grinding at the cracked quadrant and crack deflection holes at the crack tip proximity.
3. **Post-repair testing:** the repaired specimen was subjected to further cyclic loading under HCF conditions to evaluate the performance of the repair method. As a clear indication of increased fatigue endurance was achieved the load was then significantly increased to break the specimen.

3.2. Pre-Cracking Phase

3.2.1. Stress Distribution

The stress distribution in the intact specimen was recorded as the pre-crack testing commenced and as expected for DT joints subjected to axial load the highest strain range was found in the saddle position. Furthermore, it can be seen in [Figure 5](#) that the stress range is gradually decreasing towards the crown. It is also apparent in [Figure 5](#) that stress ranges in all four quadrants are quite similar and symmetric around the saddle. This indicates symmetrically placed strain gauges and a lower probability of significant eccentricities in the specimen or test setup.




Figure 5. Stress range distribution at strain gauge closest to weld along chord-brace intersection ($\Delta P=40\text{kN}$)

3.2.2. SCF Calculation

In the pre-cracking phase, the stress concentration factors in the four saddle positions in the intact specimen were calculated based on the recorded HSS. The maximum SCF recorded under an axial load range of 40 kN was 20.45 located in Q2 and the average SCF of the four saddle positions was 19.89. [Figure 6](#) below shows the SCF in the four quadrants. Furthermore it shows the measured location of the strain gauges at a perpendicular distance from the weld toe along the chord surface and the ideal SG location when using linear extrapolation according to DNV is marked with the red dashed lines.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (United Kingdom)




Figure 6. SCF at the four quadrants from the experimental work, with $\Delta P=40$ kN. *The SG positioned in saddle-b at Q3 was not measured after installation. Hence, the average SG placement in saddle-b from the other three quadrants is plotted.

3.2.3. Pre cracking Test Loading

The load range and R-ratio maintained throughout the pre-cracking stage were 40 kN and 0.1, respectively. Initially, the frequency was set to 6 Hz but reduced to 3 Hz after an 11% loss in the hot spot stress range at saddle point in Q3 at 300k cycles to ensure documentation of critical events. The maximum load reach in the testing (P_{max}) was 45 kN, while the minimum (P_{min}) was 5 kN. Stress distribution along the brace chord intersection and hot spot stresses at saddle positions were recorded. HSS recordings and load cell readings facilitated the calculation of SCFs.

3.2.4. Result of Pre-Cracking

The objective in the pre-cracking phase was to achieve a through-thickness crack, which could be observed with a 100% loss in hot spot strain readings. It was decided to stop the test at 1.515 million cycles as the strain gauges at the saddle and -22.5° degrees in Q3 had passed 90% and 96% drop in strain range, respectively. Hence, it was assumed that a through-thickness crack was achieved between the two strain gauges marking N3. The surface crack length was measured to 73mm, spanning between strain gauges at -45° to the strain gauge at $+22.5^\circ$. Based on the strain readings the remaining three quadrants seemed to not have undergone any significant damage. A summary of the fatigue life in Q3 is shown in [Table 1](#).

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (United Kingdom)

Table 1. Fatigue testing results of the pre-cracking stage

Fatigue life	Number of Cycles	Remark
N1	342 000	15% drop in the measured hot-spot strain*
N2	410 000	7.5 mm surface crack length
N3	1 515 000	observation of 100% loss in the hot-spot strain readings**

*The hot-spot strain is measured by linear extrapolation from two strain gauges placed perpendicular to the weld toe.

**Two strain gauges lost over 90% of the strain range. Therefore, 100% strain range loss between these strain gauges is assumed.

3.3. Repair of the Cracked DT-Joint

3.3.1. Locating the Crack Tip

For crack-deflecting holes to increase fatigue endurance, it is vital to determine the exact location of the crack tips to place the holes in a favourable spot. Several steps were carefully carried out to validate the crack tip's location. First, the crack tips were located with the strain gauge readings and a microscope. Then MPI with fluorescent was conducted to validate the position. Finally, MPI with fluorescent was performed repeatedly with the specimen subjected to a static tensile load of 30 kN to open the crack. As there was a good agreement on the crack tip position in all three inspections, the crack tip position was concluded to span from -38° to $+21^\circ$ in Q3.

3.3.2. Hole drilling and weld toe grinding

The specimen was repaired by drilling 18 mm holes in the crack tip proximity. Positioning of the holes was made in alignment with Atteya's testing by centring the holes 12° ahead of the crack tip and shifting them 15 mm perpendicular from the weld toe. As the crack spanned from -38° to $+21^\circ$, the holes were placed centred at -50° and $+33^\circ$. The objective of the crack-deflecting holes was to deflect the crack away from the weld toe, which holds significant stresses and arrests the crack in the holes.

In addition to the crack deflecting hole repair, weld toe grinding was performed in Q3 behind the holes. The repair was performed with a rotating "balled-nosed tree" carbide burr with a maximum diameter of 8 mm and was used to grind the weld toe in compliance with BS 7608 [10]. The weld toe was further enhanced by sanding the weld toe in a direction perpendicular to the weld toe with a 240-grit paper, which produced a surface roughness of $58.5 \mu\text{m}$ [11]. Post repair, the emerged weld toe profile exhibited an average depth and radius of 0.5 mm and 3.4 mm, respectively, which aligns with the DNV C203 and BS 7608 specifications.

3.3.3. Instrumentation in the repaired quadrant

After the repair, a set of three new strain gauges was installed around each crack deflecting hole in the repaired quadrant to monitor existing and new crack development. The strain gauge layout in Q3 is shown in [Figure 7](#).

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (United Kingdom)




Figure 7. Strain gauge layout in repaired quadrant

3.4. Performance of the Repaired DT

3.4.1. Post-repair test Loading

In the final phase of the testing program, the performance of the repaired DT-Joint was tested by subjecting the specimen to additional cyclic stress. As the crack induces greater SCF while propagating, the load range was reduced to ensure that the stress range remained within the bounds of high cycle fatigue throughout the entire post-repair testing. A load range of 27kN was selected, maintaining an R-ratio of 0.1, resulting in a minimum load (Pmin) of 3kN and a maximum load (Pmax) of 30kN. The frequency was initially set at 5Hz but was later increased to 10Hz once the strain measurements stabilised and showed no indication of further crack propagation or initiation. The strain gauge readings stabilised after achieving a through-thickness crack between the crack-deflecting holes.

3.5. Result of post-repair testing

A full through-thickness crack was achieved between the holes after 930 000 cycles, and the testing continued for another 2 585 000 cycles before test termination giving a total of 3 515 000 cycles in the post-repair testing. A summary of the fatigue life in the post-repair testing is shown in [Table 2](#).

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (United Kingdom)

Table 2. Repaired specimen fatigue life

Fatigue Life	÷50° Hole	+33° Hole
<i>Ni1</i>	540 000	900 000
<i>Ni2</i>	910 000	930 000
Run out	3 515 000	

Ni1 surface crack into the hole

Ni2 through-thickness crack into the hole

3.6. Discussion

As there was no sign of crack initiation or further crack development, the conclusion that the repair had successfully increased the fatigue endurance in the specimen was drawn. Thus, the testing was stopped after a total of 3 515 000 cycles in the post-repair testing phase. However, to study further crack initiation and propagation it was decided to break the specimen by continuing the test at a significantly higher load range. The new load range chosen gave stresses in the borderline between High and low cycle fatigue.

3.7. Breaking specimen load

3.7.1. Test loading when breaking the specimen

The new load range selected was adjusted to 54 kN, maintaining an R-ratio of 0.1. This load range gave a maximum load (Pmax) of 60 kN and a minimum load (Pmin) of 6 kN. Since the new stress range fell into the low cycle fatigue regime, events were anticipated to occur at a considerably accelerated rate. Hence the frequency was reduced back to 3 Hz.

3.7.2. Results from breaking the specimen

Previous testing of equal specimens has shown reverse coalescence, a phenomenon where the crack initiates in the weld toe beyond the crack-deflecting hole (crown side) and propagates back into the hole [8]. This behaviour does not align with the expected behaviour before Atteya's testing, where the crack initiates at the hole.

As per Atteya's discovery, the reverse coalescence phenomenon was present at both the crack-deflecting holes in the specimen tested in this experimental investigation, as shown in [Figure 8](#).

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (United Kingdom)




Figure 8. Crack initiation in front of SG crown-side at +33° hole

The testing was terminated after 260 000 cycles. At this point, the crack had propagated back into both holes and extended over a total length exceeding 1.5 multiplied by brace diameter marking N4. The fatigue life stages are summarised in [Table 3](#).

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (United Kingdom)

Table 3. Fatigue life during breaking the specimen

Fatigue Life	÷50° Hole	+33° Hole
N1*	208 000	93 000
N2*	250 000	145 000
N4	260 000	

N1* Crack initiation beyond the hole 15% drop in strain range, refer to [Error!](#)

[Reference source not found.](#) [Figure 5-18](#)

N2* Crack entering hole reversely

4. Evaluation of the repair's effectiveness

4.1. Comparison with existing tests

An empirical approach to evaluating the efficacy of the repair is by comparing the number of cycles until N3 with those leading to N4. In this paper the ratio between N4 and N3 is defined as dR, Equation (1). From previous testing of similar tubular joints and test conditions without any repair the maximum recorded dR is about 30% [8, 12]. If the post repair fatigue test would reach a dR of 100% without any further crack initiation the repair would have fully reinstated the as-built fatigue life.

$$dR = \frac{N4}{N3} * 100 \quad (1)$$

The specimen was subjected to a lower cyclic stress range in the post-repair phase than in the pre-cracking phase. Therefore, a direct comparison to the pre-crack fatigue endurance would not be possible. Nevertheless, the cycle count to reach N3 under the post-repair stress range was estimated by shifting the SN curve to the N3 result from the pre-cracking phase, as shown in [Figure 9](#). Subsequently, from this shifted S-N curve, the projected cycle count to achieve N3 under the stress range employed in the post-repair phase could be derived. Eventually, this enabled comparing the number of cycles in the post-repair phase and the estimated number of cycles to N3. The procedure employed to calculate dR is summarised as follows:

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

1. Pre-crack result ($\Delta P = 40\text{kN}$):
 - a. $\sigma_{\text{nom}}(14.16 \text{ MPa}) \cdot \text{SCF}(19.4) = \text{HSSr}(274.7 \text{ MPa})$
 - b. Number of cycles to N3 = 1 515 000
2. Shifting the SN curve to N3 from the pre-crack phase:
 - a. New $\log(a) = 13.50$
 - b. $m = 3$
3. Estimate of the Number of cycles to N3 under post-repair load ($\Delta P = 27\text{kN}$):
 - a. $\sigma_{\text{nom}}(9.19 \text{ MPa}) \cdot \text{SCF}(19.4) = \text{HSSr}(178.24 \text{ MPa})$
 - b. Estimated number of cycles to N3 = $10^{\log(a) - m \cdot \log(\Delta\sigma)} = 5\,546\,000$
4. Number of cycles in the post-repair phase ($\Delta P = 27\text{kN}$):
 - a. Number of cycles to run out = 3 515 000
5. **Calculating dR = 3 515 000/ 5 546 000 = 63%**




Figure 9. Standard SN curve and SN curve shifted to pre-crack result.

The dR ratio of 63% derived from the approach employed in this section is about 100% higher than the greatest dR number for similar specimens tested without repair. Consequently, the conclusion can be drawn that drilling crack-deflecting holes combined with weld-toe grinding has successfully increased fatigue endurance. It should also be emphasised that N4 has not been reached under these loading conditions, but it was decided to stop the testing due to time limitations and that sufficient data was achieved. Furthermore, there was no sign of crack initiation or development at the point of test termination.

It should be emphasised that this approach is very conservative, as the post repair testing results is compared to a number of cycles laying above the upper bound of the SN curve.

4.2. Comparing recorded stress levels with SN-curve

4.2.1. Determining cycle count and stress range

In the post-repair testing, the specimen underwent 3 515 000 cycles until defined as a run-out. However, it took 930 000 cycles to achieve a through-thickness crack between the crack deflecting holes, in which the crown side strain gauge (SG with highest strain values) location where the new crack was expected to occur was subjected to a variable stress range as the crack propagated.

To evaluate the accumulated damage during these first 930 000 cycles and its relevance for the fatigue life of this location, an equivalent stress range for these cycles was calculated, and the resulting damage was established based on the SN curve. This damage was then used further to determine the equivalent number of cycles this part of the test represents, correcting for the stress level at this location after through thickness between the crack deflecting holes. The total number of cycles was then determined to 2 721 000.

The stress range recorded in post repair testing after a full through thickness crack between the holes was 250 MPa. However, only the directional strains are captured by the strain gauge therefore a finite element model was created to determine the factor to obtain the principal stresses. Based on this analysis an amplification factor of 1.08 was determined which give a stress range of 269 MPa.

4.2.2. Comparison With SN curve

The basis for the comparison is 2.7 million cycles with a stress range of 269 MPa. Considering this result, it is immediately evident that the fatigue endurance in the post-repair testing is significantly higher than the upper bound of the T curve, which sets the basis for discussing the weld-toe grinding effect.

Both DNV-RP-C203 and BS 7608 provide an improved SN curve for welded connection subjected to weld toe grinding, as presented in [Figure 10](#). By comparing the number of cycles from the design T curve with the improved SN curves, it is possible to derive an improvement factor of the weld toe grinding from DNV and BS for the given stress range of 269 MPa.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (United Kingdom)

- Improved fatigue life according to DNV = 1.6
- Improved fatigue life according to BS = 2.0




Figure 10. Improved SN curve from weld-toe grinding

The reason for deriving these improvement factors, as opposed to simply extracting the number of cycles from the SN curves, is to enable the consideration of the fatigue endurance during the pre-cracking phase in the comparison. By utilising the SN curve shifted to the pre-crack result, the following number of cycles is expected:

- | | |
|--|-------------------------|
| • No improvement from weld-toe grinding: | 1 616 000 cycles |
| • Improvement from grinding according to DNV: | 2 636 000 cycles |
| • Improvement from grinding according to BS: | 3 227 000 cycles |
| • Number of cycles to run out in post-repair testing: | 2 721 000 cycles |

It is evident from these considerations that the weld-toe grinding successfully increased the specimen's fatigue endurance. The number of cycles in the first attempt of the post-repair testing exceeded the number of cycles expected according to DNV when improved with weld toe grinding.

5. Conclusion

Due to the lack of effective temporary repair methods for cracked tubular joints in offshore jacket structures subjected to high cycle fatigue, this study aimed to investigate the effectiveness of a simple repair technique. Specifically, crack-deflecting holes in combination with weld-toe grinding. To achieve this objective, an experimental study of the repair method has been carried out, supplemented by a numerical analysis of the stresses and stress fields in the experimental work.

A single, double T (DT) – joint was experimentally investigated through a three-phased fatigue testing program comprising pre-cracking, repair and post-repair testing. After a through thickness crack was achieved in the pre-cracking phase, the cracked specimen was repaired with crack-deflecting holes and weld-toe grinding according to DNV specifications. Moreover, the testing was conducted within low-stress high-cycle fatigue conditions.

In the post-repair testing, the crack was successfully arrested in the crack-deflecting holes. Furthermore, as no sign of new crack formation was detected after an improved fatigue endurance was evident, the testing was terminated and defined as run-out. The results were compared to existing test results and SN curves, which clearly showed a significant fatigue life enhancement.

Due to run-out and no sign of new crack formation during the post-repair testing, it was decided to increase the stress range to accelerate the cracking of the specimen. The subsequent testing applied a load range providing stresses above yielding, which is characterised as high-stress low cycle fatigue. Under this increased stress range, new cracks initiated beyond the holes which propagated back into the hole reversely, confirming the reverse coalescence behaviour also seen by Atteya (2023).

Based on this work it is indicated that crack deflection holes combined with weld-toe grinding managed to arrest the crack for high cycle fatigue loading. This is assumed to be a result of the weld-toe profile improvement, the improved surface roughness from the grinding, the sanding at the weld-toe and the reduced stress concentration due to the holes compared to a cracked but unrepaired tubular joint.

Further, the load range was increased to a high-stress range, leading to plastic strain and stress amplitudes and subsequent crack initiation and confirming the reverse crack coalescence behaviour.

Given the considerable variation and substantial uncertainties associated with the fatigue phenomenon, a single test cannot definitively establish a general understanding of the fatigue performance. However, in light of existing testing and the findings within this thesis, the effect of the studied repair method appears very promising.

6. Further work

As the experimental results indicated a significant fatigue life enhancement of tubular joints repaired with crack-deflection holes combined with weld-toe grinding, future research should focus on providing more empirical evidence on the method by investigating the effects of the repair on:

- Different joint configurations, e.g. T-joints, K-joints, and Y- joints
- Joints with different diameter and wall thickness ratios
- Joints subjected to different cyclic loading modes, e.g. In-plane and out-of-plane bending
- Joints in seawater with cathodic protection and free corrosion

Considering the crack initiation beyond the holes and the reverse coalescence phenomenon in the post-repair testing, future work should also focus on optimizing the cut-out repair, as already stated by Atteya [8]. An optimal hole shape, size and placement would decrease the stress concentration even further, making the repair method efficient for tubular joints subjected to higher nominal stress ranges and more extensive degradation.

References

- [1] Almar-Næss, A., *Fatigue handbook : offshore steel structures*. 1985, Trondheim: Tapir.
- [2] Atteya, M., et al., *Experimental and numerical study of the elastic SCF of tubular joints*. *Materials*, 2021, **14**(15): p. 4220.
- [3] Ersdal, G., J.V. Sharp, and A. Stacey, *Ageing and Life Extension of Offshore Structures: The Challenge of Managing Structural Integrity*. 2019, Newark: Newark: John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated.
- [4] Lotsberg, I., *Fatigue Design of Marine Structures*. 2016, Cambridge: Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [5] Tubby, P., *OTH 89 370 Fatigue performance of repaired tubular joints*. 1989.
- [6] Sharp, J.V. and G. Ersdal, *Underwater inspection and repair for offshore structures*. 2021, Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- [7] Atteya, M., et al. *Crack Arresting With Crack Deflecting Holes in Steel Plates*. in *ASME 2020 39th International Conference on Ocean, Offshore and Arctic Engineering*. 2020.
- [8] Atteya, M., *PhD thesis -Crack Arresting using Cutout Repair in Tubular Offshore Joints*, in *University of Stavanger*. to be published: University of Stavanger.
- [9] DNV, *DNV-RP-C203 - Fatigue design of offshore steel structures*. 2019, DNV AS.
- [10] British Standard, *BS 7608:2014+A1:2015 - Guide to fatigue design and assessment of steel products*. 2015, BSI Standards Publication.
- [11] Upmold. *Surface finish sandpaper grit chart*. 2017; Available from: <https://upmold.com/surface-finish-sandpaper-grit-chart/>.
- [12] HSE, *OTH 92390 Background to new fatigue design guidance for steel joints and connections in offshore structures*. 1999.

Field Code Changed