Process parameter modelling and optimization techniques applied to fused deposition modelling: A review

T Batu[1, 2*], H G. Lemu[3], H G Shimels[4], and M Zebenay[5]

[1] Ethiopian Space Science and Geospatial Institute, Ethiopia
[2] Ethiopian Defence University, Ethiopia
[3] University of Stavanger, Norway
[4] Addis Ababa Science and Technology University, Ethiopia
[5] NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, USA

[*] Corresponding author's e-mail:- temebatu19@gmail.com

Abstract. Manufacturing is the foundation of any industrialized country and involves making products from raw materials using various processes. Additive manufacturing (AM) was initially developed as a technique for rapid prototyping to visualize, test, and authenticate a design before end-user production. FDM is the most commonly used additive manufacturing process for constructing products and prototypes. It encompasses numerous process parameters that impact the quality of manufactured products. Properly selecting these process parameters is crucial for producing products at a lower cost while enhancing mechanical properties, build time, and part quality, among other factors. Therefore, in the past, researchers have optimized the process parameters to achieve the desired product outcomes. In the present study, we provide an overview of FDM process parameters and review various design optimization methods. We present several experimental designs, such as the Taguchi method, response surface methodology, and design of experiments, as well as computational approaches like artificial intelligence, and machine learning.

1. Introduction

In any industry, manufacturing is a challenging sector due to its extraordinarily complex components. Among the recent advances in the manufacturing sector, additive manufacturing (AM) is the most recent method for fabricating complex components from 3D Computer-Aided Design (CAD) geometry, using the process illustrated in Figure 1 [1]. The AM process builds 3D objects or products layer by layer from a Computer-Aided Design (CAD) model, and this capability has experienced unprecedented growth as a manufacturing tool in some corporations. Combined with the advances in topology optimization, AM process aims to reduce mass or utilize materials where needed and has been successfully adopted in many engineering applications [2]. The main advantage of the AM process is its ability to directly transform a computerized 3D model into a finished product without the need for auxiliary tools. This facilitates the production of complex geometric parts that are difficult to fabricate using conventional manufacturing processes [3].

Figure 1. General additive manufacturing process flow (Adapted from [13])

Among the first forefront industries that utilized the amazing capabilities of additive manufacturing to transform their production are aerospace [4], medical [5], electronics [6], transportation and automotive [7], construction [8], healthcare monitoring [9] and sustainable energy generation [10]. There are different types of additive manufacturing techniques that have been developed recently. According to the American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM), AM has been classified into seven processes technologies as illustrated in Figure 2(a) [11,12].

From the various AM techniques, the fused deposition modelling (FDM) process is one of the most popular and commonly used [14,15] in the industry. The main reason for its wider application are that FDM is the most cost-effective method of manufacturing bespoke thermoplastic components and prototypes. Due to the lower cost of FDM printers and wider availability of thermoplastic m,aterials, the lead times are minimal and cheaper than other AM processes [11]. FDM was made commercially available in early1990s, after the FDM technique was patented by the co-founder of Stratasys, Scott Crump in 1989 [16]. In the FDM process, a continuous supply of thermoplastic filament on a spool is utilized for printing layers of material to build the part. As illustrated in Figure 2(b), after an uninterrupted supply of material filament is made available, it is heated to a semi-liquid phase by the heating element inside the liquefying head, and this semi-liquid thermoplastic is extruded through the extrusion nozzle on the printing bed or platform.



Figure 2. (a) Categorization of AM technologies and (b) Setup of FDM process [15,20].

The main working principle of FDM is that the semi-liquid thermoplastic filament materials do not solidify immediately when they are extruded from the nozzle onto the printing plate; rather, these semi-liquid thermoplastics for a particular layer under construction fuse together before curing or solidifying into a layer-wise stacked part at the surrounding ambient temperature [17]. The simplicity of the process, high-speed printing, and low cost are the main benefits of FDM. On the other hand, the disadvantages of FDM technique are process parameter-dependent mechanical properties (or anisotropic mechanical properties), poor surface quality, layer-wise or stair case appearance of parts, and limitation to thermoplastic polymers only because thermoplasticity is the essential property for a material to be 3D printed through FDM technique [15,18]. Since the quality and mechanical characteristics of FDM-printed parts essentially depend upon the proper (or optimal) selection of process parameters, making FDM suitable for mass production and more acceptable by industries, finding the optimal process parameter combinations to improve the part quality and mechanical properties becomes of utmost importance [19]. The various common FDM process parameters, along with their descriptions are presented in Table 1.

Table 1 Process parameter of FDM and its description

Process parameter	Description	Reference
Layer thickness	Height of layers deposited after extrusion, determined by nozzle tip diameter and material	[14,15]
Build Orientation	Positioning of the part within the build platform in relation to X, Y, and Z directions.	[14,19]
Raster Angle/ Orientation	Angle of material deposition with respect to the X-direction on the build platform.	[14,15,19]
Air Gap	Distance between adjacent FDM-printed tool paths on a single layer.	[14,15,19]
Extrusion temperature	Temperature of thermoplastic filament materials inside the nozzle before extrusion.	[15,19]
Print Speed:	Speed of the nozzle tip in the XY plane during material deposition.	[15,19]
Infill pattern	Pattern used to form the internal structure of the FDM printed part (e.g., diamond, honeycomb).	[15,19]
Infill density/Interior infill	Solidity of the internal structure of FDM printed parts.	[15,19]
Nozzle diameter	Diameter of the extruder nozzle tip.	[15]
Raster width	Width of deposited beads along the extruder tool path.	[14,15,19]
Number of contours	Number of solid outer layers surrounding the internal infill pattern.	[14,15]
Contour width	Thickness of the outer contour layers.	[14,15]
Contour to contour Air gap	Distance between solid outer layers (contours).	[15]

The list in this table (Table 1) indicates that fabrication of components using FDM printing methods involve different processing parameters that play crucial roles in quality and performance of the printed parts. Accordingly, effects of these parameters on the quality of AM manufactured components have been investigated in several research works [14,15,19,21]. Finding an optimum process parameter that improves the surface quality and mechanical properties (i.e., tensile strength, fracture toughness, plasticity, hardness, brittleness, and fatigue strength) of manufactured components is one of the research areas studied by researchers. To find an optimum amount of each parameters different optimization techniques were used. Thus, the goal of this survey is to summarize the optimization techniques that are applied in FDM AM methods. This review covers the period from 2000 to September 2023, and it offers well-organized information on objectives, process parameters studied, constraints, and optimization techniques for FDM process. This information can be valuable for researchers seeking to identify trends, challenges, and future directions in research gaps that exist in FDM process parameter optimization.

2. Highlights of studies on FDM process

In 2021, Dezaki, et al. [22] provided an overview of the research, development, and process optimization of FDM throughout history. They also presented an overview of the popular materials investigated to find out their features and mechanical properties in the FDM process. Similar work was also reported ion [23], where a review was made to know the insights of one such AM process, i.e., FDM. Dey and Yodo [19] conducted a thorough review of the current literature on the influence of parameters on part qualities, as well as existing work on process parameter optimization. In 2015, Mohamed et al. [14] reviewed the research carried out so far in determining and optimizing the process parameters of the FDM process. The trends for future FDM research in this area are described focusing on the research on each quality characteristics (static and dynamic mechanical properties, surface roughness, material behavior, and build time). Quite recently, Rajan et al. [24] reported a review that explains the various techniques used in 3D printing and the various polymers and polymer composites used in the FDM process. The list of mechanical investigations carried out for different materials, process parameters, properties, and the FDM process's potential application were discussed. This review indicated the materials and their optimized parameters to achieve enhanced properties and applications. Kohad et al. [25] reviewed the identification of various FDM process parameters that affect the quality of the fabricated parts. They were also evaluated on two factors that influence fabricated parameters.

Even though there have been research achievements on FDM based AM process parameter optimization, the research directions for future development needs improvement. Some reviews about process parameter optimization in AM have been published, but mainly aiming at analyzing and discussing the general process parameter optimization work in [14,15,24,], but gaps are observed on study of the modelling and optimization methods of process parameter in FDM as main study. The review also does not identify the objectives, design variables, and constraints that were used by the researchers. There is no doubt that the methods of modelling selecting or predicting an optimum process parameter for manufacturing high-quality components are a common problem for obtaining high quality product. Therefore, it is necessary to present a review of the process parameter modelling and optimization methods to summarize the existing achievements and give some future directions for further exploration in the finding of optimum process parameter for FDM based AM process. Besides, as far as the authors knowledge, similar review papers for process parameter optimization methods for FDM are neither under consideration nor have already been published elsewhere.

3. FDM process parameter modelling and optimization methods

Different optimization techniques have been used to obtain an optimal combination of all process variables to either maximize or minimize one or more desired outputs. These techniques are also used for identifying the most influential process parameters, the interactions between various parameters, and the extent to which an AM process parameter individually affects the output variables. Thus, this section presents experimental design approach and computational approach used for process parameter optimization of FDM based AM.

3.1. Experimental design approach

3.1.1. Taguchi method. The Taguchi method, a statistical approach also known as robust design, is often used in experimental design to enhance the quality of manufactured goods across various industries [26]. It minimizes the number of experiments needed, providing a systematic means to optimize designs in terms of quality and cost using orthogonal arrays of factors. Taguchi's primary goal is to reduce variability around target product properties through statistical experimental design, known as robust design. This method is extensively employed in AM research too to explore the impact of process parameters on additively manufactured components using this method. It integrates statistical and mathematical techniques to optimize performance traits, revealing effects with fewer experiments and focusing on controlling signal factors while mitigating noise factors that contribute to unpredictability [27].

Many studies used the Taguchi method along with analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures to optimize the process parameters of FDM. These parameters include layer thickness [28–33], infill density [28,33] road width [30,31,34], speed of deposition [30,33], raster angle [29,31,32,35], air gap [29,31,32,35], raster width [29,35], slice height [35], deposition style [34], support style [34], print speed [28], direction of rotation [35], build orientation angle [35] and deposition orientation [34]. Most of the studies were conducted for ABS (acrylonitrile butadiene styrene) material with the goal of improving surface roughness [30,33,34] surface quality [31], dimensional accuracy [31,34], tensile strength [34], elastic performance [29,35], impact strength [33,35] build time [28,33] and production time [32]. Using the ANOVA procedure, these researchers identified significant parameters such as layer thickness[30,32] raster angle[35], raster width[35], and build orientation.

The Taguchi method was also employed for the optimization of FDM process parameters, namely wire-width compensation, extrusion velocity, filling velocity, and layer thickness of ABS material, to improve dimensional accuracy and reduce warpage deformation in printed parts [36]. Additionally, the method was combined with fuzzy logic to optimize process parameters such as layer thickness, orientation, raster angle, raster width, and air gap, with the aim of enhancing dimensional accuracy [37] Gray Taguchi method was also utilized to optimize FDM process parameters, including wire-width compensation, extrusion velocity, filling velocity, and layer thickness of ABS material to address dimensional errors and reduce warpage deformation in printed parts [38].

Other areas of application of the Taguchi method includes enhancing the quality of printed materials made from Polylactic Acid (PLA). For example, Maguluri et al [39] studied the influence of nozzle temperature, infill density, and printing speed on the tensile properties (elastic modulus, tensile strength, and fracture strain) using a Taguchi L8 array and obtained optimum values for each PLA specimens. Lokesh et al [40] studied the effect of various printing parameters (layer thickness, build orientation and raster angle) on the mechanical properties of PLA specimens processed and tested as per ASTM standards through the Design of an Experiment (DOE), where the Taguchi approach by L9 orthogonal array was employed. To assess whether process variables have any significant effects, an ANOVA was performed. It was observed that layer thickness has more influence than build orientation and raster angle. Liu et al. [41] established a new theoretical model to reveal the distortion mechanism of PLA thin-plate parts in the FDM process, a theoretical model based on the theory of elastic thin plates in thermoelectricity. An experimental research approach based on the Taguchi method was used for designing special specimens. Moreover, 81 test specimens were designed and prepared through the FDM process and measured by a portable 3D laser scanner. Two statistical analysis methods, signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) and ANOVA were applied to optimize the process parameters in order to reduce the distortion of thin-plate parts. The experimental results indicated that the optimal process parameters can be obtained and that the proposed theoretical model was efficient.

In several studies, the Taguchi method was employed to optimize various process parameters in FDM. Santhakumar et al. [43] investigated the improvement of impact strength by optimizing FDM process parameters for polycarbonate material. They studied four key process parameters (1) layer thickness, (2) build orientation, (3) raster angle, and (4) raster width, and concluded using ANOVA analysis, that the most influential factor for impact strength in polycarbonate material is layer thickness. Anitha et al. [42] examined the impact of parameters such as layer thickness, road width, and deposition speed on FDM parts utilizing an L18 orthogonal array for their experiments. They found that layer thickness significantly affects the minimum surface roughness of FDM parts. Similarly, Alhubail et al. [43] investigated the effects of FDM variables, including layer thickness, air gap, raster width, contour width, and raster orientation, on surface roughness and tensile strength using Taguchi design (L32). Jiang et al. [44] explored the optimization of process parameters for FDM of Polyetheretherketone (PEEK) for biomedical implants, employing the Taguchi method to enhance tensile strength, print speed, layer thickness, temperature, and extrusion width. Finally, in [45], Gray Taguchi design of experiments was used to optimize FDM parameters like layer thickness, print temperature, raster angle, infill part density, and infill pattern style, leading to improvements in tensile strength, flexural strength, impact strength, and compression strength. Filippidis et al. [46] used a combined Taguchi with I optimality and grey analysis to determine the optimal combination: priming/retraction speed (8 mm/s), layer thickness (0.4 mm), temperature (190 °C) and nozzle speed (40 mm/s). The major influential factors for FDM process parameter optimization were layer thickness followed by printing speed and temperature.

3.1.2. Response Surface Method. Response surface methodology (RSM) combines mathematical and statistical techniques for modelling and optimization. The fundamental goal of this approach is to optimize the responses that are affected by numerous input parameters or factors. RSM uses the DoE approach to gather enough data. The relationship between the controllable input parameters and the results can be established using RSM [47]. This method is also used for the modelling and optimization of process parameter of FDM to improve the quality of printed parts.

In the research conducted by Wang et al. [48], RSM was used to optimize a multi-temperature parameter system for FDM by examining the effects of temperature conditions (nozzle temperature, platform temperature, and environment temperature) on the tensile strength of carbon fiber/polylactic acid composite specimens using the constructed RSM model. The results showed that the RSM optimization method significantly improved tensile strength with a 3.2% gap compared to FDM results. Similarly, Mandge et al.'s research [49] utilized RSM to study the effect of process parameters such as infill percentage, shell wall thickness, and extrusion temperature on ABS specimen material using the statistical method of RSM and validating parameter significance using ANOVA. The goal was to overcome drawbacks such as printing time, machine speed, and surface quality while optimizing strength, thermal, and mechanical properties.

In the study by Mohamed, et al. [50], critical FDM parameters, including layer thickness, air gap, raster angle, build orientation, road width, and number of contours, were investigated using Q-optimal response surface methodology. The study examined their effects on build time, feedstock material consumption, and dynamic flexural modulus. Mathematical models were developed to establish a functional relationship between processing conditions and process quality characteristics. ANOVA was employed to assess the adequacy and significance of these models. Optimal process parameter settings were determined, and a confirmation test was conducted to validate the models and settings. The results demonstrated that the Q-optimal design is a promising method for optimizing FDM process parameters and confirmed the adequacy of the developed models.

Equbal et al. [51] examined the performance of ABS P400 parts manufactured using FDM under compressive loading. They studied the effects of varying levels of three FDM process parameters: (1) raster angle, (2) air gap, and (3) raster width on three responses, including compressive stress, percentage deformation, and breaking stress of the fabricated parts. Experimental results were analyzed using ANOVA, response graphs, and 3D surface plots, revealing the anisotropic nature of ABS P400 parts and the significant impact of chosen process parameters on compressive properties. The relationships between process parameters and responses were complex, and predictive models for these responses were developed and validated through additivity tests. Multi-objective optimization using the desirability function approach was employed to discover the best combination of FDM process parameters, and the results were validated with a confirmatory test. The study provided valuable insights into the effects of critical FDM parameters on compressive properties beyond CS, including % D and BS of ABS P400 fabricated parts.

Comparison of Taguchi method with RSM was also reported [52] using four operating parameters, namely (1) extrusion temperature, (2) layer thickness, (3) raster width and (4) print speed. In addition, their interaction terms were identified as control variables with three levels, while tensile strength and compressive strength were selected responses. L27 orthogonal array and face-centered central composite design (FCCCD) were used for the experimental approach for Taguchi and RSM, respectively. The S/N ratio and ANOVA were employed to find the optimal FDM parameter combination as well as the main factor that affect the performance of the PLA samples. Based on experimental results, it was observed that both the Taguchi method and RSM succeed in predicting better results compared with the original groups. In addition, the optimum combinations for tensile strength and compressive strength obtained from the RSM were 2.11% and 8.15% higher than the Taguchi method, respectively. Also, Panda et al. [53] studied five important process parameters such as layer thickness, orientation, raster angle, raster width and air gap, considering their effects on three responses viz., (1) tensile, (2) flexural and (3) impact strength of test specimen. Experiments were conducted using central composite design (CCD) and empirical models relating each response and process parameters were developed. The models were validated using ANOVA. Finally, bacterial foraging technique was used to suggest theoretical combination of parameter settings to achieve good strength simultaneously for all responses.

3.1.3. Factorial design. Full Factorial Design (FFD) is a classical optimization approach that employs statistical methods to demonstrate the relationship between variable parameters influencing the response through a linear regression model [54]. Information necessary for constructing the response model is gathered through experimental or simulation work, and the FFD method can be employed to examine the effects of multiple independent variables and the extent of their interaction simultaneously. In statistics, a full factorial design consists of two or more variables in the experimental design, each with discrete possible values or levels, and the experimental units take on all possible combinations of these levels across all variables. This method allows for the study of the effects of each component on the response variables and the interactions among the factors on the response variable [27].

Various factorial designs were utilized for modeling and optimizing process parameters in FDM printed parts, such as 2^3 [55], 3^2 [55], 2^5 [56], and 2^4 [57] full factorial designs. These designs encompassed parameters such as model temperature [55,58], layer thickness [55,56], part fill style [55], orientation [56], contour width [56], raster angle [56,57], part raster width [56], air gap [57–59], raster orientation [58], bead width [58], color [58], build orientation [59], raster width [57–59], build layer [59], part orientation [57], and build laydown pattern [59]. The primary objectives of these studies were to enhance tensile strength [57,58], surface roughness [55], reduce porosity [59], improve compressive yield strength [59], compressive modulus [59], compressive strength [58], support material volume [56], and build time [56] for FDM printed parts. Also for the 3D printed bottom housing part made from PLA, Haidiezul et al. [60] employed FFD to optimize shrinkage on the printed parts. The results of the optimization work demonstrated that the FFD approach significantly improved dimensional accuracy compared to the specified drawing for the printed part. Gebisa & Lemu [61,62] employed a FFD of experiment on high-performance ULTEM 9085 polymeric material, investigating the impact of five process parameters (air gap, raster width, raster angle, contour number, and contour width). The study revealed that only the raster angle significantly affects the material's tensile [62] properties, and raster angle and raster width have the greatest effect on the flexural properties [61] of the material.

3.2. Computational approaches

3.2.1. Genetic Algorithm (GA). The GA is a computational method employed for optimizing process parameters within both conventional manufacturing industries [63] and in the realm of FDM. Specifically, it played a pivotal role in optimizing a myriad of process parameters. These encompassed layer thickness [64–67], orientation angle [64,65,67], raster angle [64,65,67], raster width [64,65,67], printing temperature [66], infill pattern [66], slice thickness [68], road width [68], liquefier temperature [68], and air gap [65,67,68]. The overarching aim was to minimize dimensional variability [65], reduce build time [69] , enhance accuracy [69], refine surface roughness [68], and meticulously control porosity [68] in FDM parts. Furthermore, this adaptable algorithm tailored its optimization strategies for various materials, including polymeric biocomposites [65], ABS [64], and copper-reinforced ABS [66]. Diverse GA types were harnessed, exemplified by the hybrid genetic algorithm [64] and the innovative three-step genetic algorithm-based approach [69]. Moreover, the GA was seamlessly integrated with different experimental design methodologies to optimize FDM process parameters. Notably, it harmonized with the Taguchi L9 technique [66] and was paired with RSMs [64,68] to achieve unprecedented precision.

3.2.2. Artificial Neural Network. The optimization of FDM process parameters for part quality improvement by using traditional methodologies will be costly and time consuming for the required level of precision. Thus, researchers give attention to an artificial neural network (ANN) method for process parameter modelling and optimization.

Giri et al. [70] used critical process parameters as inputs to ANN and a number of contours to optimize and enhance the properties of FDM printed parts such as tensile strength, surface roughness, and build time. The material used for 3D printing was PLA. The task of training the data sets and optimizing them was accomplished by using function approximation of ANN, which predicted experimental data with a coefficient of correlation R = 0.9981, 0.9984, 0.99837 for tensile strength, build time, and surface roughness, respectively. The root mean square error obtained for the three ouputs was 0.5543, 0.578 and 0.241. Further, they identified that build orientation is the most important parameter for optimum results. Research from Lyu and Manoochehri [53] presented the study on the predictive model to help the process parameter optimization for dimensional accuracy in the FDM process. Three process parameters, namely extruder temperature, layer thickness, and infill density were considered in the model. To achieve better prediction accuracy, three models were studied, namely multivariate linear regression, ANN), and Support Vector Regression (SVR). These models were used to characterize the complex relationship between the input variables and dimensions of fabricated parts. Based on the experimental data set, it was found that the ANN model performs better than the multivariate linear regression and SVR models. The ANN model was able to study more quality characteristics of fabricated parts with more process parameters of FDM. Correspondingly, the paper presented by Selvam et al. [71] characterized the influence of five manufacturing parameters on a part's ultimate tensile strength (UTS) and modulus of elasticity (E) experimentally, which was used to train an ANN. This ANN forms the basis of a capability profile that was shown to be able to represent the mechanical properties with RMSEP of 1.95 MPa for UTS and 0.82 GPa for E. They validated the capability profile and incorporated into a generative design methodology enabling its application to the design and manufacture of functional parts.

In addition to the above-discussed methods, there are also other methods used for optimizing process parameters of FDM. For instance, enabled teaching learning based algorithms [72] and particle swarm optimization [73–75] were used for optimizing the process parameter of FDM to improve the quality of manufactured parts.

4. Discussion

4.1. Observation of the trends and challenges in FDM parameter optimization

In today's competitive market, the quality of manufactured parts like surface finish, mechanical strength, dimensional accuracy, manufacturing cost, etc. is most important to satisfy and attract customers. But as discussed earlier in this paper, the quality (such as flexural strength, hardness, tensile strength, compressive strength, dimensional accuracy, surface roughness, production time, yield strength, and ductility) of parts produced by FDM based AM process highly depends upon various process parameters. For that, process parameter optimization of the FDM process must be carried out as a continuing research. Different process parameter modelling and optimization methods were applied by researchers during the development history of FDM based AM. In the present work, a review of methods used for AM process parameter optimization is presented.

To provide a review of optimization procedures for AM parameters, the applications of different optimization methods, such as the Taguchi method, genetic algorithms, artificial neural networks, response surface methodology, and factorial design, in the optimization process of FDM parameters are investigated. From this review, it is clearly observed that Taguchi method is mostly used and suggested for optimizing the process parameter of FDM process parameters. Taguchi helps to determine optimal sequence and ANOVA technique helps to determine which parameters are most significant and their percentage contribution. Taguchi methodology is widely used for the single and multi-optimization.

Even if different process parameter optimization methods were used to find optimal process parameters to improve surface finish, aesthetics, mechanical properties, model material consumption, and build time, there are still no perfect optimal conditions for all types of parts and materials [14]. It depends on the materials and indicates that for some materials, layer thickness is the critical parameter, and for others, another critical parameter do exist. So, future researchers must focus on finding the optimal condition for each material. With the same concept, there is no optimal condition for different mechanical properties. For instance, layer thickness is critical for flexural, tensile, and compressive strength. But for surface roughness and other properties, layer thickness is not the first critical parameter. Thus, identifying the optimal condition for each parameter for each property based on the applications area is expected. Also, it has been observed that there is no any method which considered all process parameters of FDM in optimization. So, for the future, it is expected that all parameters will be considered.

In terms of the quality of the manufactured components by all methods FDM processed parts normally have lower mechanical properties and surface finish than the parts made by conventional manufacturing process such as injection moulding [14,78]. To improve the part quality and mechanical properties for FDM fabricated parts, it is necessary to understand the relationship between material properties and process parameters.

In terms of material properties, most of the studies are mainly focused on optimizing the process parameters using the methods mentioned above for the mechanical properties of ABS parts. However, there have been no published research articles relating to the optimization of FDM process variables for the thermal, chemical, and dynamic mechanical properties of FDM fabricated parts in other material forms. Therefore, much research work is needed in this area in the future.

Most of the researchers have taken air gap, layer thickness, raster angle, raster width, and build orientations as input (variable) parameters. Air gap, layer thickness, and raster angle are important parameters to consider while studying the effects of process parameters on the required responding characteristics. In this method, the majority of the researchers have done their work by considering the FDM parameters like air gap, layer thickness, and raster angle. In the end, they concluded that layer thickness is the most significant factor for build time, increasing impact strength, and minimizing surface roughness in FDM.

Regarding the constraints in the optimization, there is a physical constraint imposed on the FDM machine that influences the selection of the optimal process settings and must be taken into account in future studies. The first constraint is that some FDM machines allow only four specific values of layer thickness, which are 0.1270, 0.1778, 0.2540, and 0.3302 mm. Apart from these, the operator cannot choose any other value because they are restricted by the nozzle diameter. The second practical constraint is that each nozzle diameter has its own raster width range. The third practical limitation is that the operator can only use a limited number of contours when required. In this case, the operator will not be allowed to use any other values in this range[14,15]. As a result, it becomes challenging to optimize the FDM process parameters in the presence of several such constraints. Therefore, it will be difficult for traditional DOEs to address this type of problem. Therefore, new optimization techniques and mathematical modeling must be created in order to get around these restrictions and make the ideal parameters realistic and practicable in real-world applications.

FDM processes involve a multitude of interconnected parameters, often leading to complex, nonlinear relationships. Adjusting one parameter can have unexpected consequences on others, making it challenging to predict the overall impact on the final product's quality. This complexity is further exacerbated in high-dimensional parameter spaces, where optimization becomes resource-intensive and time-consuming. Engineers and researchers often grapple with finding the right balance between various parameters to achieve the desired results.

The use of computational methods for FDM parameter optimization can place significant demands on resources. Finite Element Analysis (FEA), Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD), and machine learning algorithms require substantial computational power and time, limiting their applicability in environments with resource constraints. The iterative nature of optimization can also lead to extended production times, potentially affecting project schedules. Moreover, collecting and processing large datasets for machine learning-based optimization approaches can be daunting, requiring careful data management and analysis.

FDM encompasses a wide range of materials, each with its unique properties and behavior. This material variability poses a considerable challenge in optimization efforts. Different materials may demand distinct optimization approaches due to variations in melting points, viscosities, and thermal conductivities. Material properties can change over time due to factors such as humidity and storage conditions, necessitating adjustments to optimization parameters. Additionally, maintaining consistent material extrusion throughout the printing process is crucial, and any deviations can lead to variations in printed parts, making it harder to maintain optimized settings.

4.2. *Future directions*

As FDM technology continues to evolve, several promising avenues and innovations are shaping the future of FDM parameter optimization. These future directions aim to further enhance the efficiency, quality, and adaptability of FDM processes.

The integration of real-time monitoring and control systems is a crucial step in the evolution of FDM parameter optimization. By incorporating sensors and feedback mechanisms into 3D printers, manufacturers can dynamically adjust parameters during the printing process. This capability enables rapid response to variations in environmental conditions, material properties, or part geometry. Real-time monitoring can also detect anomalies or defects as they occur, allowing for immediate corrective actions. The adoption of Industry 4.0 principles, including the Internet of Things (IoT) and data analytics, will play a pivotal role in realizing this vision of adaptive and self-optimizing FDM systems.

The development of new materials specifically tailored for FDM applications is an exciting frontier. Innovations in materials chemistry and engineering are expected to yield materials with improved properties, such as enhanced strength, thermal resistance, or biocompatibility. These advanced materials will expand the range of applications for FDM, from aerospace components to medical implants. Moreover, material development will address the challenges of material variability in parameter optimization, as optimized settings may need to adapt to the unique characteristics of each material.

The integration of FDM into smart factories and Industry 4.0 environments is a transformative trend. Smart manufacturing systems will seamlessly connect FDM printers with other production equipment and databases, facilitating data-driven decision-making and process optimization. This integration will enable real-time tracking of production progress, quality control, and inventory management. Furthermore, it will allow for the exchange of optimization insights across the entire manufacturing ecosystem, fostering collaboration and knowledge sharing among manufacturers and researchers.

Future FDM parameter optimization approaches will likely incorporate multi-objective optimization techniques. Rather than focusing solely on a single optimization criterion (e.g., cost or quality), these methods will consider multiple objectives simultaneously. This will enable manufacturers to strike a balance between conflicting goals, such as minimizing production time while maximizing part quality. Multi-objective optimization algorithms will provide decision-makers with a range of Pareto-optimal solutions, allowing them to choose the one that best aligns with their priorities.

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) will continue to advance in the context of FDM parameter optimization. These technologies will become more sophisticated in predicting optimal parameters and identifying patterns in data, making them invaluable tools for manufacturers. As datasets grow and computational power increases, AI and ML models will provide more accurate and efficient optimization solutions.

5. Conclusions

Optimizing additive manufacturing process parameters is crucial for achieving cost-effective production while enhancing mechanical properties, build time, part quality, and more. In this paper, we examine several Design of Experiments (DOE)-based parameter optimization techniques applied to optimize process parameters in FDM. The methods considered encompass both experimental design and computational approaches for FDM process parameter optimization. Common methods include the Taguchi method, RSM, ANN, full factorial design method, among others, which are widely used in optimizing process parameters for FDM technique. This review work identified key FDM process parameters, including air gap, layer thickness, nozzle temperature, bed temperature, build orientation, raster width, and raster angle, that have been subjects of previous studies and possibly to be further investigated.

As AI techniques continue to mature, they are expected to remain attractive and powerful tools for optimizing process parameters in FDM. Thus, future works in this direction will focus on use of ML techniques in AI.

References

(Reference list will be checked)

[1] Dehghanghadikolaei A 2018 Additive Manufacturing as A New Technique of Fabrication *J. 3D Print. Appl.* 3–4

[2] SHI G, GUAN C, QUAN D, WU D, TANG L and GAO T 2020 An aerospace bracket designed by thermo-elastic topology optimization and manufactured by additive manufacturing *Chinese J. Aeronaut.* **33** 1252–9

[3] Gardan J 2016 Additive manufacturing technologies: State of the art and trends *Int. J. Prod. Res.* **54** 3118–32

[4] Kong L, Ambrosi A, Nasir M Z M, Guan J and Pumera M 2019 Self-Propelled 3D-Printed "Aircraft Carrier" of Light-Powered Smart Micromachines for Large-Volume Nitroaromatic Explosives Removal *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **29** 1–9

[5] Murr L E 2020 Metallurgy principles applied to powder bed fusion 3D printing/additive manufacturing of personalized and optimized metal and alloy biomedical implants: An overview *J. Mater. Res. Technol.* **9** 1087–103

[6] Khosravani M R and Reinicke T 2020 3D-printed sensors: Current progress and future challenges *Sensors Actuators, A Phys.* **305** 111916

[7] Leal R, Barreiros F M, Alves L, Romeiro F, Vasco J C, Santos M and Marto C 2017 Additive manufacturing tooling for the automotive industry *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* **92** 1671–6

[8] Marchment T, Sanjayan J and Xia M 2019 Method of enhancing interlayer bond strength in construction scale 3D printing with mortar by effective bond area amplification *Mater. Des.* **169** 107684

[9] Nasiri S and Khosravani M R 2020 Progress and challenges in fabrication of wearable sensors for health monitoring *Sensors Actuators, A Phys.* **312** 112105

[10] Wang Y, Ahmed A, Azam A, Bing D, Shan Z, Zhang Z, Tariq M K, Sultana J, Mushtaq R T, Mehboob A, Xiaohu C and Rehman M 2021 Applications of additive manufacturing (AM) in sustainable energy generation and battle against COVID-19 pandemic: The knowledge evolution of 3D printing *J. Manuf. Syst.* **60** 709–33

[11] Gibson I, Rosen D and Stucker B 2015 *3D Printing, Rapid Prototyping, and Direct Digital Manufacturing*

[12] ISO/ASTM 2013 *Additive Manufacturing - General Principles Terminology (ASTM52900)*

[13] Mendonca I E and Lorenzo S 2020 Sustainability analysis of the emerging technologies applied in manufacturing Presented by : Iván Esquivel Mendonça Faculty of Business Sciences

[14] Mohamed O A, Masood S H and Bhowmik J L 2015 Optimization of fused deposition modeling process parameters: a review of current research and future prospects *Adv. Manuf.* **3** 42–53

[15] Jaisingh Sheoran A and Kumar H 2020 Fused Deposition modeling process parameters optimization and effect on mechanical properties and part quality: Review and reflection on present research *Mater. Today Proc.* **21** 1659–72

[16] Crump S S 1991 Fast, precise, safe prototypes with FDM *Am. Soc. Mech. Eng. Prod. Eng. Div. PED* **50** 53–60

[17] Ngo T D, Kashani A, Imbalzano G, Nguyen K T Q and Hui D 2018 Additive manufacturing (3D printing): A review of materials, methods, applications and challenges *Compos. Part B Eng.* **143** 172–96

[18] Stansbury J W and Idacavage M J 2016 3D printing with polymers: Challenges among expanding options and opportunities *Dent. Mater.* **32** 54–64

[19] Dey A and Yodo N 2019 A systematic survey of FDM process parameter optimization and their influence on part characteristics *J. Manuf. Mater. Process.* **3**

[20] Dizon J R C, Espera A H, Chen Q and Advincula R C 2018 Mechanical characterization of 3D-printed polymers *Addit. Manuf.* **20** 44–67

[21] Dandagwhal R D, Nikalje A M and Deore E R 2020 Effect of process parameters on additively manufactured parts using FDM process & material selection: A review *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **810**

[22] Lalegani Dezaki M, Mohd Ariffin M K A and Hatami S 2021 An overview of fused deposition modelling (FDM): research, development and process optimisation *Rapid Prototyp. J.* **27** 562–82

[23] Sai P C and Yeole S N 2001 Fused Deposition Modeling *International Conference on Advances in Design and Manufacturing*

[24] Rajan K, Samykano M, Kadirgama K, Harun W S W and Rahman M M 2022 *Fused deposition modeling: process, materials, parameters, properties, and applications* vol 120 (Springer London)

[25] Kohad A, Dalu R and Student P G 2007 Optimization of Process Parameters in Fused Deposition Modeling: A Review *Int. J. Innov. Res. Sci. Eng. Technol. An ISO* **3297** 505–11

[26] Hamzaçebi C 2020 Taguchi Method as a Robust Design Tool *Quality Control - Intelligent Manufacturing, Robust Design and Charts*

[27] Suniya N K and Verma A K 2023 A review on optimization of process parameters of fused deposition modeling *Res. Eng. Struct. Mater.*

[28] Mendonsa C, Naveen K, Upadhyaya P and Shenoy V D 2013 Influence of FDM Process Parameters on Build Time Using Taguchi and ANOVA Approach *Int. J. Sci. Res. ISSN (Online Index Copernicus Value Impact Factor* **14** 2319–7064

[29] Lee B H, Abdullah J and Khan Z A 2005 Optimization of rapid prototyping parameters for production of flexible ABS object *J. Mater. Process. Technol.* **169** 54–61

[30] Anitha R, Arunachalam S and Radhakrishnan P 2001 Critical parameters influencing the quality of prototypes in fused deposition modelling *Journal of Materials Processing Technology* vol 118 (Elsevier) pp 385–8

[31] Nancharaiah T, Raju D and Raju V 2010 An experimental investigation on surface quality and dimensional accuracy of FDM components *J. Emerg. Technol.* **1** 106–11

[32] Nancharaiah T 2011 Optimization of Process Parameters in FDM Process Using Design of Experiments *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. 2(1)* **2** 100–2

[33] Sumalatha M, Malleswara Rao J N and Supraja Reddy B 2021 Optimization Of Process Parameters In 3d Printing-Fused Deposition Modeling Using Taguchi Method *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **1112** 012009

[34] Wang C C, Lin T W and Hu S S 2007 Optimizing the rapid prototyping process by integrating the Taguchi method with the Gray relational analysis *Rapid Prototyp. J.* **13** 304–15

[35] Tharun M, Sumalatha M, Rao J N, G P, Cam M T and College V R S E 2017 Experimental Investigation of Impact Strength for Abs Plus FDM Parts Using Taguchi *Int. Res. J. Eng. Technol.* **4** 456–61

[36] Zhang J and Peng A 2012 Process-parameter optimization for fused deposition modeling based on Taguchi method *Advanced Materials Research* vol 538–541 pp 444–7

[37] Sahu R K, Mahapatra S S and Sood A K 2014 A Study on Dimensional Accuracy of Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) Processed Parts using Fuzzy Logic *J. Manuf. Sci. Prod.* **13** 183–97

[38] Sood A K, Ohdar R K and Mahapatra S S 2009 Improving dimensional accuracy of Fused Deposition Modelling processed part using grey Taguchi method *Mater. Des.* **30** 4243–52

[39] Maguluri N, Suresh G and Rao K V 2023 Assessing the effect of FDM processing parameters on mechanical properties of PLA parts using Taguchi method *J. Thermoplast. Compos. Mater.* **36** 1472–88

[40] Lokesh N, Praveena B A, Sudheer Reddy J, Vasu V K and Vijaykumar S 2022 Evaluation on effect of printing process parameter through Taguchi approach on mechanical properties of 3D printed PLA specimens using FDM at constant printing temperature *Materials Today: Proceedings* vol 52 (Elsevier) pp 1288–93

[41] Xinhua L, Shengpeng L, Zhou L, Xianhua Z, Xiaohu C and Zhongbin W 2015 An investigation on distortion of PLA thin-plate part in the FDM process *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* **79** 1117–26

[42] Anitha R, Arunachalam S and Radhakrishnan P 2001 Critical parameters influencing the quality of prototypes in fused deposition modelling *Journal of Materials Processing Technology* vol 118 pp 385–8

[43] Alhubail M, Alenezi D and Aldousiri B 2013 Taguchi-based Optimisation of Process Parameters of Fused Deposition Modelling for Improved Part Quality *Int. Jouranl Enggineering Res. Technol.* **2** 2505–19

[44] Jiang C P, Cheng Y C, Lin H W, Chang Y L, Pasang T and Lee S Y 2022 Optimization of FDM 3D printing parameters for high strength PEEK using the Taguchi method and experimental validation *Rapid Prototyp. J.* **28** 1260–71

[45] Nagendra J and Prasad M S G 2020 FDM Process Parameter Optimization by Taguchi Technique for Augmenting the Mechanical Properties of Nylon–Aramid Composite Used as Filament Material *J. Inst. Eng. Ser. C* **101** 313–22
[46] Filippidis P, Papazetis G and Vosniakos G C 2020 Process parameter investigation for 3D printing of cellular structured parts *Procedia Manuf.* **51** 717–24
[47] Myers R H, Montgomery D C and Anderson-Cook C M 2009 *Introduction* vol (5)2
[48] Wang Z, Li J, Wu W, Zhang D and Yu N 2021 Multitemperature parameter optimization for fused deposition modeling based on response surface methodology *AIP Adv.* **11**
[49] Mandge V, Patel D M and Patil H G 2020 Experimental Investigation to optimise FDM process parameters for ABS material using RSM *REST J. Emerg. trends Model. Manuf.* **6**
[50] Mohamed O A, Masood S H and Bhowmik J L 2016 Mathematical modeling and FDM process parameters optimization using response surface methodology based on Q-optimal design *Appl. Math. Model.* **40** 10052–73
[51] Equbal A, Sood A K, Equbal M I, Badruddin I A and Khan Z A 2021 RSM based investigation of compressive properties of FDM fabricated part *CIRP J. Manuf. Sci. Technol.* **35** 701–14
[52] Gao G, Xu F and Xu J 2022 Parametric Optimization of FDM Process for Improving Mechanical Strengths Using Taguchi Method and Response Surface Method: A Comparative Investigation *Machines* **10**
[53] PANDA S K, PADHEE S, SOOD A K and MAHAPATRA S S 2009 Optimization of Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM) Process Parameters Using Bacterial Foraging Technique *Intell. Inf. Manag.* **01** 89–97
[54] Montgomery D C A S U 2017 *D esign and Analysis of Experiments Ninth Edition*
[55] Horvath D, Noorani R and Mendelson M 2007 Improvement of Surface Roughness on ABS 400 Polymer Using Design of Experiments (DOE) *Mater. Sci. Forum* **561–565** 2389–92
[56] Pavan Kumar G and Regalla S P 2012 Optimization of support material and build time in fused deposition modeling (FDM) *Applied Mechanics and Materials* vol 110–116 pp 2245–51
[57] Rayegani F and Onwubolu G C 2014 Fused deposition modelling (fdm) process parameter prediction and optimization using group method for data handling (gmdh) and differential evolution (de) *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* **73** 509–19
[58] Ahn S H, Montero M, Odell D, Roundy S and Wright P K 2002 Anisotropic material properties of fused deposition modeling ABS *Rapid Prototyp. J.* **8** 248–57
[59] Ang K C, Leong K F, Chua C K and Chandrasekaran M 2006 Investigation of the mechanical properties and porosity relationships in fused deposition modelling-fabricated porous structures *Rapid Prototyp. J.* **12** 100–5
[60] Haidiezul A H M, Hazwan M H M, Soon Lee W, Gunalan, Fatin Najihah N and Fadhli I 2020 Full Factorial Design Exploration Approach for Multi-Objective Optimization on the (FDM) 3D Printed Part *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* vol 917
[61] Gebisa A W and Lemu H G 2018 Investigating effects of Fused-deposition modeling (FDM) processing parameters on flexural properties of ULTEM 9085 using designed experiment *Materials (Basel).* **11** 1–23
[62] Gebisa A W and Lemu H G 2019 Influence of 3D printing FDM process parameters on tensile property of ultem 9085 *Procedia Manuf.* **30** 331–8
[63] Leirmo T S and Martinsen K 2019 Evolutionary algorithms in additive manufacturing systems: Discussion of future prospects *Procedia CIRP* vol 81 (Elsevier B.V.) pp 671–6
[64] Tura A D and Mamo H B 2022 Characterization and parametric optimization of additive manufacturing process for enhancing mechanical properties *Heliyon* **8** e09832
[65] Kumar R, Chohan J S, Singh S, Sharma S, Singh Y and Rajkumar S 2022 Implementation of Taguchi and Genetic Algorithm Techniques for Prediction of Optimal Part Dimensions for Polymeric Biocomposites in Fused Deposition Modeling *Hindawi, Int. J. Biomater.* **2022**
[66] Singh B, Kumar R and Singh Chohan J 2021 Multi-objective optimization of 3D Printing process using genetic algorithm for fabrication of copper reinforced ABS parts *Materials Today: Proceedings* vol 48 (Elsevier Ltd) pp 981–8
[67] Chohan J S, Kumar R and Singh S 2022 Analysis of Dimensional Accuracy of Fused Filament Fabrication Parts Using Genetic Algorithm and Taguchi Analysis *international journal of*

mechanical engineering vol 7 pp 105–13

[68] Arumaikkannu G, Uma Maheshwaraa N and Gowri S 2005 A genetic algorithm with design of experiments approach to predict the optimal process parameters for FDM *16th Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium, SFF 2005* pp 150–61

[69] Abdulhameed O, Mian S H, Moiduddin K, Al-Ahmari A, Ahmed N and Aboudaif M K 2022 A Multi-Part Orientation Planning Schema for Fabrication of Non-Related Components Using Additive Manufacturing *Micromachines* **13** 1–24

[70] Giri J, Shahane P, Jachak S, Chadge R and Giri P 2021 Optimization of fdm process parameters for dual extruder 3d printer using artificial neural network *Materials Today: Proceedings* vol 43 (Elsevier Ltd.) pp 3242–9

[71] Goudswaard M, Hicks B and Nassehi A 2021 The creation of a neural network based capability profile to enable generative design and the manufacture of functional FDM parts *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* 2951–68

[72] Chohan J S, Mittal N and Kumar R 2020 Parametric optimization of fused deposition modeling using learning enthusiasm enabled teaching learning based algorithm *SN Appl. Sci.* **2** 1–12

[73] Dey A, Hoffman D and Yodo N 2020 Optimizing multiple process parameters in fused deposition modeling with particle swarm optimization *Int. J. Interact. Des. Manuf.* **14** 393–405

[74] Selvam A, Mayilswamy S, Whenish R, Naresh K, Shanmugam V and Das O 2022 Multi-objective optimization and prediction of surface roughness and printing time in FFF printed ABS polymer *Sci. Rep.* **12** 1–12

[75] Em T S and Kiong S C 2023 Optimization of Process Parameters for Polylactic Acid (PLA) of FDM Using Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) *Res. Prog. Mech. Manuf. Eng.* **4** 205–17

[76] Saad M S, Mohd Nor A, Abd Rahim I, Syahruddin M A and Mat Darus I Z 2022 Optimization of FDM process parameters to minimize surface roughness with integrated artificial neural network model and symbiotic organism search *Neural Comput. Appl.* **34** 17423–39

[77] Alam N, Alam M and Ahmad S 2021 Optimization of Fused Deposition Modelling process parameters using Teaching Learning Based Optimization (TLBO) algorithm *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **1149** 012014

[78] Mulay N N K A V 2018 Post Processing Methods used to Improve Surface Finish of Products which are Manufactured by Additive Manufacturing Technologies : A Review *J. Inst. Eng. Ser. C* **99** 481–7