QCD in heavy-ion collisions

Aleksi Kurkela



Universitetet i Stavanger

UiS, 2022

Outline:

- Phenomenological prelude
- QCD effective kinetic theory
- Thermalization in simple examples, Bottom-up thermalization

Phenomenological prelude:

"Standard picture" in p-p collisions:



- Initial state radiation
- Hard processes
- Multi-parton interactions
- Fragmentation
- Hadronization

• . . .

PYTHIA, HERWIG,...

- Hadronic collisions = superposition of individual partonic collisions
- No *final state interactions:* free streaming

High-multiplicity collisions



• Typical p-p collisions have $\mathcal{O}(10)$ final state hadrons

in central rapidity region

• Very rarely a collisions results in $N_{ch} \sim \mathcal{O}(150)$

Strangeness enhancement



• The *kind* of particles coming out changes as function of system size

• The bigger the system $(dN/d\eta)$, more (multi-)strange particles

ALICE Nature Phys. 13 (2017) 535-539

\Rightarrow High multiplicity collisions not just more of the same

 $K = us, \Lambda = uds, \Xi = uss, \Omega = sss$

Strangeness enhancement



ALICE Nature Phys. 13 (2017) 535-539

- The *kind* of particles coming out changes as function of system size
- The bigger the system $(dN/d\eta)$, more (multi-)strange particles

- \Rightarrow High multiplicity collisions not just more of the same
 - Significant new physics needed to reproduce qualitative features Sjöstrand, Fischer JHEP 1701 (2017)

 $K = us, \Lambda = uds, \Xi = uss, \Omega = sss$

Long-range azimuthal correlations

Individual events pick a preferred direction:



Long-range azimuthal correlations



CMS cumulant analysis:PLB 765 (2017)

Collectivity in nuclear collisions



• Long range azimuthal correlations more prominent in larger systems

Collectivity in nuclear collisions







G. Roland, Trento 2017

Collectivity in nuclear collisions







G. Roland, Trento 2017



Formation of Quark-gluon plasma

- Azimuthal asymmetry from anisotropic explosion of quark-gluon plasma
- Strangeness content of plasma in chemical equilibrium



 $\bullet\,$ Near-side ridge: partice **60%** ll longitudinal mom60% ll pushed by same pressure gradient

11

ද Agwagg-side ridge: 2 ද 5 ද ද 5 ද 5 - 2 4.5 - 2	due to geometry, away-side correlated 2.8 2.6 2.4 2.2 2	1.4 1.3 1.2 1.1 1
4	4	4

Hydrodynamics

Hydrodynamics



• Relativistic fluid dynamics =

i) conservation of currents and

ii) gradient expansion around local thermal equilibrium

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{eq.} + \eta(T)\nabla^{<\mu}u^{\mu>} - \zeta(T)\{g^{\mu\nu} + u^{\mu}u^{\nu}\}(\nabla \cdot u) + \dots$$

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{eq.} = \text{diag}(e(T), p(T), p(T), p(T))$$

Shear viscosity: Fluid response to initial geometry





ALICE PRL 107 (2011)





ALICE PRL 107 (2011)



• The response reflects the expected nuclear geometry

• Suite of data: Event-by-event distributions $P(v_n)$



Model must include:

- Initial conditions p, k, w
- Material properties $e, \eta, \zeta...$
- Particlization T_{sw}

Many implementations: VISHNU, MUSIC, SuperSonic, Trajectum, ...



PRC 94, 024907 (2016)



¹ fatt et al. 1 ftL 114 (2013)

- EoS typically from lattice, but one can try to extract empirically
- The empirical determination of EoS roughly agrees with the lattice

• Most likely variables indicate an almost ideal fluid

$$\frac{\eta}{s} \sim 0.1$$

• For weakly coupled systems,

$$\eta/s \sim \langle p \rangle l_{\text{mean free path}} \sim \frac{l_{\text{mean free path}}}{l_{\text{de Broglie}}} \sim \frac{4.74T}{g^4 \log(g)T} \gtrsim 1$$

Arnold et al. JHEP 0305 (2003)



• Most likely variables indicate an almost ideal fluid

$$\frac{\eta}{s} \sim 0.1$$

• For weakly coupled systems,

$$\eta/s \sim \langle p \rangle l_{\text{mean free path}} \sim \frac{l_{\text{mean free path}}}{l_{\text{de Broglie}}} \sim \frac{4.74T}{g^4 \log(g)T} \gtrsim 1$$

Arnold et al. JHEP 0305 (2003)

• No non-perturbative calculation in QCD, but for some strongly coupled models

$$\eta/s = \frac{1}{4\pi} \approx 0.08$$

Super Yang-Mills $\mathcal{N} = 4, N_c \to \infty, \lambda N_c \to \infty$, Starinets, Son, PRL 87 (2001)

How are the two cartoons related?

• Early-time evolution:

Hydrodynamization as function of time

• Small systems: p-Pb, p-p

Hydrodynamization as function of size

• Jets in dense medium:

Hydrodynamization as function of energy scale



- Hydro: $T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{eq.} + \eta(T) \nabla^{<\mu} u^{\mu>} + \dots$
- Strong anisotropy $P_L/P_T \ll 1$, sign of large correction
- At early times *pre-equilibrium* evolution Hydro: EoS, η/s , etc., Pre-equilibrium: need microscopic description



- Hydro: $T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{eq.} + \eta(T) \nabla^{<\mu} u^{\mu>} + \dots$
- Strong anisotropy $P_L/P_T \ll 1$, sign of large correction
- At early times *pre-equilibrium* evolution Hydro: EoS, η/s, etc., Pre-equilibrium: need microscopic description

Jet thermalization

- Hard parton propagating though plasma comes with a perturbative scale and some aspects of it must be perturbative
- If the medium is large enough, the parton will radiate and degrade its energy.
- Eventually the hard particle particle will become part of the medium, hydrodynamic modes



Jet thermalization:



Motivation:

- How does collectivity arise from microscopic interactions?
- What signs of collectivity are really signs of fluid like behaviour? Which come from just final state interactions? Are there maybe other confounding effects that mimic those of final state interactions?
- How does the "perfect fluid" melt into free streaming particles in pp?

What is the microscopic structure of quark-gluon plasma?

To answer these questions, must understand far-from-equilibrium physics

Methods

- Strong coupling $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, $N_c \to \infty$, $\lambda = g^2 N_c \to \infty$
- Weak coupling QCD $\alpha_s \to 0$
- Transport models mimicking QCD, ...





these lectures

Strong coupling, colliding shock waves In both cases, dynamics for a classical theory

ovember 10, 2010

Simple transport model, example

Simple toy model:

• Kinetic transport of $f(\tau, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ in isotropization time approximation

$$\frac{1}{p}p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu f} = -C[f] = -\frac{-v_{\mu}u^{\mu}}{\tau_{\rm iso}}(f - f_{iso}(p^{\mu}u_{\mu}))$$

with

$$au_{
m iso} = rac{1}{\gamma \epsilon^{1/4}}$$

Interpolates between free streaming and hydrodynamic

Solve for heavy-ionesque initial conditions $\epsilon = e^{-r^2/R^2}$, $P_L = 0$

Depends only on one parameter: $\hat{\gamma} = R/l_{mfp} = R^{3/4} \gamma (\epsilon_0 \tau_0)^{1/4}$

(1)



Fluid quality:

From a solution to kinetic theory, the hydrodynamical prediction of $T^{\mu\nu}$ can be computed

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^{\mu}p^{\nu}}{p^0} f$$

and the local restframe can be solved:

$$u_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon T^{\mu\nu}$$

With this knowledge we can ask what would hydrodynamics would have given for $T^{\mu\nu}$ for this configuration:

$$T_{\rm hyd}^{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon + p) u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + p g^{\mu\nu} + \Pi_{\rm hyd}^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\Pi_{\rm hyd\,2nd}^{\mu\nu} = 2\tau_{\Pi} \eta_s \left[{}^{<}D\sigma^{\mu\nu>} + \frac{1}{3}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\alpha}u^{\alpha} \right] + \lambda_1 \sigma_{\alpha}^{<\mu} \sigma^{\nu>\lambda}$$
$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[\Delta^{\mu\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha}u^{\nu} + \Delta^{\nu\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha}u^{\mu} \right] - \frac{1}{3}\Delta^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\alpha}u^{\alpha} \right\}$$

Fluid quality tells how well the constitutive equations are fulfilled:

$$Q_{2}^{n}(t,r) = \sqrt{\frac{\left(T_{\rm kin} - T_{\rm hyd}^{n}\right)^{\mu\nu} \left(T_{\rm kin} - T_{\rm hyd}^{n}\right)_{\mu\nu}}{(T_{\rm id})^{\mu\nu} (T_{\rm id})_{\mu\nu}}}$$



Kinetic theory hydrodynamizes around $\hat{\gamma}=2-4$

Signs of collectivity



Signs of collectivity grow smoothly as a function of system size and arises both from fluid like and particle like regions

The way this happens is determined by the microscopic dynamics